GCC - 10.14.2024 - Meeting Minutes (Jeff Buler)

- 1. Welcome
- 2. Meeting minutes from 9/16/2024 were approved by 96% vote
- 3. Graduate Student's Report (Alan Parkes)
 - a. Students would like access to Adobe Pro & Microsoft Office standalone software
 - b. Students ask whether software that is used in multiple units could be more widely available across the university – perhaps a task for the Advisory Committee on Online Education?
 - c. Students seek clarification of health care benefits as they are seeing changes in premiums and rare medication costs
 - d. Students support including graduate and undergraduate seats on the Board of Trustees
 - e. Students would like the GCC and Graduate College to explore ways to grow graduate student funding opportunities for research, professional development, and travel
- 4. Standing committees were populated via breakout rooms (see the Membership list here)
- 5. Dean's Report (<u>link</u> to slide deck)
 - a. GradSERU
 - i. Comprehensive survey of graduate student experiences as research universities
 - ii. Will happen next in Spring 2025
 - iii. Completed in odd-numbered years since 2019
 - iv. A third of UD students participated in 2023 (all time high)
 - v. Now a part of all Academic Program Reviews
 - b. Graduate housing pilot
 - i. Intended audience is incoming students
 - ii. Program is run through Residence Life
 - iii. Contracts were offered starting July 15, 2024
 - iv. Single building in the University Courtyard Apartments
 - v. 181 students started application, 133 completed it, 82 cancelled, 85 were offered housing, 51 students are residing in the housing
 - vi. \$1000/month including utilities deemed too high of a cost
 - vii. Visa challenges complicate planning
 - viii. Program has been more successful than the master lease approach of last year
 - c. By-laws revision
 - i. Expected to need substantial revision after 5 years
 - ii. Committee was Lou + past chairs + Dawn Elliott
 - iii. Red-lined plans were made available to GCC in early October
 - iv. Plan is to have revisions for Faculty Senate this fall
 - v. Revisions are organized into 4 independent sections available on Google Drive

- vi. Dean Rossi explained Revisions for section 1 on Apportionment and Voting
 - 1. Question from council about whether language only counts tenure-track faculty in apportionment algorithm
 - Dean Rossi deferred to red-lined copy language that doesn't mention tenure track faculty – so CT faculty are included in the faculty count
 - 3. Question from council about when changes would take effect
 - 4. Chair Naylor mentioned it would be effective as soon as the Faculty Senate approves the changes.
- vii. Dean Rossi explained Revisions for section 2 on Standing Committees
 - 1. No questions asked on proposed changes
- viii. Chair Naylor stopped continued review of proposed changes for remaining sections due to time
- 6. Vote was proposed on Revisions to section 1 & 2 by Chair Naylor since 2/3 of the council was present
 - a. Concern was raised by council about lack of term limits and allowing for some turnover for fresh ideas following a similar approach as the Faculty Senate
 - b. Dean Rossi was concerned that "We've lost good people" on the council because 2 terms was too short a time for them to serve. He suggested a higher term limit number.
 - c. A proposal from the council was offered of setting a 3 term limit
 - d. Seeing a need for further discussion, Chair Naylor suggested to postpone vote on revision sections 1 & 2
 - i. Asked that council members review the red-lined documents before we meet again
 - ii. Chair Naylor suggested calling a town hall meeting for further discussion if council was willing to meet or we could postpone vote until next regular meeting