2002 University of Delaware Processing Sweet Corn Variety Trial

Table of Contents

Contents	Page
General Information for Trials Including Results and Discussion	1
Acknowledgements	2
Table 1. Yield, Maturity, Ear Characteristics, and Plant Characteristics for the Yellow Standard & Sugar Enhanced Varieties in the 2002 University of Delaware Processing Sweet Corn Variety Trial	3
Table 2. Harvest Dates, Days After Planting, and Percent Moisture for the 2002 Standard & Sugar Enhanced Processing Sweet Corn Variety Trial	4
Table 3. Tasseling & Silking Data for the 2002 University of Delaware Standard & Sugar Enhanced Processing Sweet Corn Variety Trial	5
Table 4. 2002 University of Delaware Processing Sweet Corn Entries, Seed Source and Photos	7

2002 University of Delaware Processing Sweet Corn Variety Trial

Ed Kee and Tracy Wootten, University of Delaware Research & Education Center 16684 County Seat Highway, Georgetown, Delaware 19947 Phone: 302-856-7303; Fax 302-856-1845 Email: <u>kee@udel.edu</u>, <u>wootten@udel.edu</u>

Purpose: To evaluate new standard and sugar enhanced processing sweet corn varieties for yield and quality factors under Delaware growing conditions. Standard and sugar enhanced varieties were separated from the supersweet varieties. Each group is reported independently.

Locations: Field 25

Cultural Practices: The plots were planted in 30-inch rows with 9 inch spacing between seeds in the row. The plots were 3 row plots, 75 feet in length. The middle row of the plots was the record row. The plots were designed using the randomized complete block design with three replications. The trial received overhead sprinkler irrigation at 1-1.5 inches of water per week.

The field was fertilized according to soil test. On April 24, 2002, the rows for the plots were marked with a Kinze planter. A 10-34-0 starter fertilizer at the rate of 18 lbs. of nitrogen was used in the planter with Force 3G at 5.5 lbs./A when the rows were marked. The plots were hand-planted on April 24, 2002 using a jab planter. A pre-emergence herbicide of Bicep II Magnum at 1.8 quarts/A was applied on April 26, 2002. The plots were sidedressed on June 12 with 30% UAN at the rate of 157 lbs.N/A. Warrior was applied at 3.5 oz./A on 6/27/02, 7/1/02, 7/5/02 and 7/10/02 for insect control.

Harvest:

A thirty-foot harvest section was hand harvested for yield. The ears were counted and weighed. The corn was cut using a commercial cutter and percent moisture was taken. Our thanks to S.E.W Friel for the use of their cutter and microwave during the harvest of these trials.

Results & Discussion:

Tables 1 presents the yield, recovery, ear data and plant data for both trials. Varieties are ranked according to the harvest weight (tons/A). Harvest dates, days after planting and percent moisture for three replications are included on Table 2. Tasseling and silking data for the trial is found on Table 3.

The 2002 growing season began very cool at the time of planting. There were definite differences in initial vigor, with EX 5206 the first to emerge. GH 2041 and EX 4110 had good vigor and would be the first to mature of the 13 varieties. GH 2385 and GH 2547 were affected by the cool conditions early in the growing season.

The highest yielding variety in the standard and sugar enhanced trial was EX 4110 at 9.64 tons/A. The top yielding variety was not significantly different in yield to the top eight varieties.

We hope that you find this information informative and useful. If you have questions, please feel free to contact us.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the following people and companies for their support, interest and guidance in the 2002 Processing Sweet Corn Variety Trials.

Participating Seed Companies

Rogers Brand-Syngenta Seed Co. Harris Moran Seminis Crookham PureLine Gilroy, California Modesto, California Kalamazoo, Michigan Caldwell, Indiana Moscow, Idaho

We wish to thank Victor Green, Ward Harris, Brian Hearn, and the staff at the University of Delaware Research & Education Center, Georgetown for their assistance in planting, spraying, and irrigating of the trial.

Thanks to S.E.W. Friel for the use of their cutter and microwave during the harvest of these trials.

The plots could not have been harvested without the assistance of the following university students: Rusty Tressler, John Eisenbrey, Derrick Dickerson, and Brandon Hazzard.

Variety	Days	Harvest	%	Wt. Of	Cut	%	# Ears		Ear D	ata ³		Plant Data ³			Seed
	То	Weight	Moisture ¹	Husked	Corn	Recovery ²	Harvest	Kernel	Ear	#	Ear	Plant	Height	#	Source
	Harvest	(tons/A)		Ears	(lbs/A)		Per Plot	Depth	Length	Rows	Diameter	Height	Ear to	Plants/	
				(lbs/A)				Rating ⁴	(inches) ³		(inches)	(feet)	Ground	Harvest	
													(inches)	Section	
EX 4110	83	9.64	77.28	12294	6776	35	40.7	2.5	8.15	16.4	1.66	6.47	20	34	Seminis
Climax	87	9.61	75.71	10430	4937	26	31.0	1.6	8.28	16.4	1.86	6.61	22	22	Seminis
PLS 538	91	9.57	71.13	12390	7018	37	34.3	2.4	8.83	19.1	1.93	7.25	28	21	Pure Line
Eliminator	90	9.28	72.17	12681	6098	33	37.3	2.0	8.50	17.7	1.82	7.03	22	30	Crookham
Bonus	91	9.12	73.94	12448	5687	31	37.0	2.1	7.70	19.8	1.8	6.94	22	27	Syngenta
Intrique	92	8.12	69.28	10648	5518	34	29.0	2.0	7.90	18.3	1.85	5.58	16	21	Crookham
GH-2041	83	8.08	75.36	9801	4792	30	38.7	3.0	8.21	17.3	1.72	5.75	22	18	Syngenta
Dynamo	87	8.08	74.28	11096	5566	34	31.7	2.6	8.60	16.1	1.73	5.78	22	30	Harris Moran
EX 5206	87	7.47	73.83	9995	6921	48	27.7	2.2	8.22	20.0	1.77	7.33	27	24	Seminis
GH-2385	92	6.49	73.76	8881	4404	34	24.0	2.3	7.80	18.2	1.82	6.72	22	13	Syngenta
GH-9595	92	6.32	74.98	8059	4404	35	27.3	1.4	6.88	21.8	1.78	5.89	20	20	Syngenta
GH-1829	87	6.16	73.17	7962	3606	29	21.7	1.4	9.10	16.5	1.63	5.75	16	19	Syngenta
GH-2547	91	5.61	73.03	6219	2517	23	22.3	1.9	8.23	18.8	1.72	8.50	30	14	Syngenta
LSD (0.05	1.72	1.57	2208.1	1956	14	9.48							4	

Table 1. Yield, Maturity, Ear Characteristics, and Plant Characteristics for the Yellow Standard and Sugar Enhanced Varieties in the 2002 University of Delaware Processing Sweet Corn Variety Trial.

¹ - Microwave Method
 ² - Relative To Harvest Weight – Cut Corn Divided By Harvest Weight
 ³ - Average of 3 Replications
 ⁴ - 1 = Shallow; 3 = Deep
 ⁵ - Range from 3 ears/rep; 3 replications

		DAP	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92
No.	Variety	Date	7/10	7/11	7/12	7/13	7/14	7/15	7/16	7/17	7/18	7/19	7/20	7/21	7/22	7/23	7/24
		Sug. Mat.							%	Moistu	ure						
1	EX 5206	80						81.53				73.83*					
2	Bonus	83													74.12	73.94	
3	Intrique	84															69.28
4	Climax	80										75.71					
5	GH-2041	74	79.88					75.36									
6	PLS 538														74.51	71.13	
7	GH-2385	81															73.76
8	EX 4110	75	83.42					77.28									
9	GH-1829	80										73.17					
10	Dynamo	78						78.52				74.28					
11	GH-2547	85													74.08	73.03	
12	Eliminator	81													72.17		
13	GH-9595	83													76.26		74.98

Table 2. Harvest Dates, Days After Planting, and Percent Moisture for the 2002 Standard & Sugar Enhanced Processing Sweet Corn Variety Trial.

*Bold indicates harvest date.

Table 3. 2002 University of Delaware Processing Sweet Corn Variety Trial Tassling and Silking Data

Plante	Planted: April 24, 2002							
Date:		24-Jun	26-Jun	2-Jul	3-Jul			
Trt.	Variety							
1	EX 5206	10% Exposed	1-100% Expanded, 2-Expanded	1-100% Silk, 2-100% Silk	1-100% Silk, 2-100 % Silk			
			3-40% Yellow Silk	3-100% Silk	3-100% Silk			
2	Bonus		1-20 % Exposed, 80% Whorl	1-70% Silk, 2-30% Silk	1-70% Silk, 2- 40% Silk			
			2-30% Expanded, 3-70% Exposed	3-20% Silk	3-70 % Silk			
3	Intrique	5% Exposed	1-5% Expanded, Red Silk	1-70% Silk,2-80% Silk	1-70% Silk, 2- 90% Silk			
			2-100% Expanded, Red Silk, 3-Exposed	3-90% Silk	3-80 % Silk			
4	Climax		1-90% Exposed, 2-40 % Expanded	1- 100% Red, 2-90% Red	1-100% Red, 2-90% Red			
			3-60% Emerging	3- 100% Red	3-100% Red			
5	GH-2041	50% Expanded	100% Yellow Silk,	1-30% Br, 2-30% Br	1-30% Br, 2- 30% Br			
			2&3-40%start to Br, Ears Filling	3- 30% Br	3-30% Br			
6	PLS 538	5% in Whorl	1-50% Exposed, 50% Whorl	1-90% Silk, 2- 90% Silk	1-90% Silk, 2- 90% Silk			
			2-100% Expanded,3-20% Yellow Silk	3- 50% Silk	3-50 % Silk			
7	GH-2385	10% Exposed	1-40% Expanded, 2-20% Exposed	90% Expanded, 1-60% Silk	1-100% Silk, 2- 100% Silk			
			3-60% Expanded,10% Yellow,	2- 10% Silk, 3- 40% Silk	3-70 % Silk			
8	EX 4110	50% Expanded	1-60% Yellow, 2-100% Expanded	1-10% Br, 2-10% Br	1-100% Silk, 2- 80% Br			
			3-100% Yellow Silk, Some Double Ears	3-30% Br	3-70 % Yellow			
9	GH-1829	Exposed not Expanded	1-90% Expanded, No Silk	1-90% Silk, 2-90% Silk	2-20% Br, 80 % Yellow			
			2-40% Yellow, 3-100% Expand	3-60% Silk	3-30 % Br			
10	Dynamo	Exposed not Expanded	1-100% Expanded, 2-20%Yellow	1-100% Silk, 2-100% Silk	1-100% Br, 2-100% Br			
			3-100% Yellow Silk	3-100% Silk	3-100% Br			
11	GH-2547		1-10% Exposed	1-100% Silk, 2-100% Silk	1-30% Br, 2-80% Silk			
			2-50% Expanded,3-50% Emerged	3-100% Silk	3-100% Silk			
12	Eliminator	Just Emerging	1-75% Exposed, 2-50% Expanded	1-80% Silk, 2-50% Silk	100% Expanded			
			3-50% Expanded, 10% Yellow	3-70% Silk	0% Silk			
13	GH-9595	In Whorl	1-Just Emerging, 10% Exposed	100% Expanded	100% Expanded			
			2-30% Expanded, 3-70%Expanded, No Silk	0% Silk	0% Silk			

1=Rep 1, 2=Rep 2, 3=Rep 3, Br= brown

Table 3 (continued). 2002 University of Delaware Processing Sweet Corn Variety Trial Tassling and Silking Data

Plan	ted: April 24,	2002				
Date	:	8-Jul	10-Jul	12-Jul	15-Jul	17-Jul
Trt.	Variety					
1	EX 5206	1- 100% Br, Ears Filling	1-100% Br	1-100% Br	1-100% Br	1-100% Br
		2-80% Br, 3- 80% Br	2-100% Br, 3-90% Br	2-100% Br, 3-100% Br	2-100% Br, 3-100% Br	2-100% Br, 3-100% Br
2	Bonus	1-70% Br, 2-40% Br	1-70% Br, 2- 90% Br	1-80% Br, 2- 90% Br	1-90% Br, 2- 100% Br	1-90% Br, 2- 100% Br
		3-30% Br	3- 80% Br	3- 90% Br	3- 90% Br	3- 90% Br
3	Intrique	1-100% Red, 2-90% Red	1- 100 % Br, 2-100% Br			
		3-60% Red/ 40% Br	3-80% Br	3-100% Br	3-100% Br	3-100% Br
4	Climax	1-100% Red, 2-100 Red	1- 100% Br, 2- 40% Br	1- 100% Br, 2- 100% Br	1- 100% Br, 2- 100% Br	1- 100% Br, 2- 100% Br
		2-100% Red, Filling	3-50% Br	3-100% Br	3-100% Br	3-100% Br
5	GH-2041	1- 90% Br, 2- 100% Br	1- 100% Br, 2- 100% Br	1- 100% Br, 2- 100% Br	1- 100% Br, 2- 100% Br	1- 100% Br, 2- 100% Br
		3- 100% Br	3- 100% Br, Smut			
6	PLS 538	1- 90% Br, 2- 100% Br	1- 100% Br, 2- Smut			
		3- 100% Br	3- 100 Br	3- 100 Br	3- 100 Br	3- 100 Br
7	GH-2385	1- 50% Br, 2- 70% Br	1- 80% Br, 2- 70% Br	1- 80% Br, 2- 80% Br	1- 80% Br, 2- 90% Br	1- 80% Br, 2- 90% Br
		3- 20% Br	3- 60% Br	3- 80% Br	3- 80% Br	3- 80% Br
8	EX 4110	1- 100% Br, 2-100% Br	1- 100% Br, 2-100% Br	1- 100% Br, 2-100% Br	1- 100% Br, 2-100% Br	1- 100% Br, 2-100% Br
		3- 100% Br	3- 100% Br	3- 100% Br	3- 100% Br	3- 100% Br
9	GH-1829	1-90% Br, 2- 60% Br	1- 100% Br/ Smut			
		3-70% Br	2-90% Br, 3-90% Br	2-90% Br, 3-90% Br	2-100% Br, 3-100% Br	2-100% Br, 3-100% Br
10	Dynamo	1-100% Br, 2-100% Br	1-100% Br, 2-100% Br	1-100% Br, 2-100% Br	1-100% Br, 2-100% Br	1-100% Br, 2-100% Br
		3-100% Br	3-100% Br/ Smut	3-100% Br/ Smut	3-100% Br/ Smut	3-100% Br/ Smut
11	GH-2547	1- 10% Br, 2- 100 % Silk	1- 50% Br, 2-20% Br	1- 60% Br, 2-60% Br	1- 90% Br, 2-90% Br	1- 90% Br, 2-90% Br
		3- 20% Br	3- 90% Br	3- 90% Br	3- 100% Br	3- 100% Br
12	Eliminator	1- 100% Br, 2- 70% Br	1- 100% Br, 2- 100 % Br	1- 100% Br, 2- 100 % Br	1- 100% Br, 2- 100 % Br	1- 100% Br, 2- 100 % Br
		3- 90% Br	3- 90% Br	3- 90% Br	3- 90% Br	3- 90% Br
13	GH-9595	1- 80% Silk, 2- 10% Br	1- 90 % Silk, 2- 30% Br	1- 60 % Br, 2- 70% Br	1- 80% Br, 2- 70% Br	1- 80% Br, 2- 70% Br
		3- 10 % Br	3- 50% Br	3- 70% Br	3- 100% Br	3- 100% Br

1=Rep 1, 2=Rep 2, 3=Rep 3, Br= brown

Table 4. 2002 University of Delaware Processing Sweet Corn Entries, SeedSource and Photos.

		1: 4/24/02	
Variety	July 11, 2002	Harvested	Source
EX 5206	EX 5206 Seminis		Seminis
Bonus	Bonus Syngenta 2		Syngenta
Intrique	Intrique Crookham 3	A Constant of the second secon	Crookham
Climax	Climax Seminis		Seminis
GH-2041	GH-2041 Syngenta		Syngenta

PLS 538	PLS 538 Pure line	6 <i>H</i> <i>Q</i> 5 <i>q</i> , <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i> <i>s</i>	Pure Line
GH-2385	CH-2305 Syngenta	THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE	Syngenta
EX 4110	EX 4110 Seminis	S RP TH 45 No Sa	Seminis
GH-1829	GH-1829 Syngenta		Syngenta
Dynamo	Dynamo Harris Moran 10		Harris Moran
GH-2547	GH 2547 Syngenta		Syngenta

