# DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS Posc 150

## **POLITICAL PARTIES**

#### I. CONTENTS:

- A. Parties in theory
- B. American political party system.

#### II. "STRONG PARTY SYSTEM" ON PAPER:

- A. What is a strong party system and why is it potentially useful for popular control of democracy? The characteristics of a strong or disciplined party system:
- B. \*Functions of a political party system:
  - 1. Organize elections
  - 2. Educate and motivate voters
  - 3. Aggregate" interests and demands.
  - 4. Offer meaningful choices: party platform
    - i. ✓Contract with America.
  - 5. Recruit, train, and support *legislative* members **committed** to the party's general philosophy and platform.
  - 6. Govern according to the platform.
- C. The organization of a strong party: a pyramid of power
  - 1. Leader and "lieutenants"
  - 2. Legislative members
  - 3. Party activists and workers
  - 4. "Party in the electorate"
  - 5. O"Discipline": legislative members are required to support leaders and platform after debate and discussion.
    - i. Instruments of control: nomination, funding and support; favors
  - 6. OBecause a strong party "disciplines" legislative members voters can be reasonably certain that it will govern according to the platform.
  - 7. Moreover, it can enact policy in a timely fashion.
  - 8. "Shadow government": the "loyal" opposition waits in the wings offering the electorate an alternative program. It too has control over legislative members.
    - i. Thus it offers a meaningful choice to the governing party.
  - 9. Can such a party system be democratic?

#### III. THE AMERICAN POLITICAL PARTY SYSTEM:

- A. \*American parties are de-centralized, somewhat loose coalitions of semi-sovereign powers. They remind one of a feudal system of independent barons and knights.
- B. OWho are the "players?"

- 1. State and local organizations.
- 2. National party
- 3. Candidate organizations and campaigns.
  - i. Presidential and congressional office seekers.
- C. ONational party system: a weak hierarchy in the usual sense (e.g., national chairperson is not a "boss" in the usual sense of the word.)
  - 1. Parties sometimes remind one of feudal systems
  - 2. Roles
    - i. National parties establish rules that govern nomination process and act as campaign service organizations for candidates.
    - ii. Help raise money for candidates.
    - iii. Relatively little policy or platform or program development.
  - 3. \*\*Leaders, legislative members, and candidates are independent entrepreneurs.
  - 4. <sup>∗</sup>No binding platform
    - i. This point is debated among political scientists, but my view is that key elements of programs are frequently implicit, not explicitly stated.
    - ii. Congressional candidates usually do not run on national platforms and in fact can challenge them.
    - iii. State party nominees are similarly independent.
- D. Actually the United States has multi-party system: many Democratic and Republican parties.

#### IV. PARTIES IN THE GOVERNMENT:

- A. In spite of weaknesses, parties organize Congress and are thus important.
  - 1. Congressional organization:
    - i. Committees and subcommittees.
    - ii. Staff
    - iii. Agenda
    - iv. Rules committee in the House

### V. EXPLANATIONS FOR FORM AND WEAKNESSES:

- A. Nomination process
  - 1. Increasing importance of primaries over conventions and caucuses in the nomination process
- B. Constitutional system, especially <u>federalism</u> and <u>independently</u> elected legislative members, creates numerous power centers.
  - 1. Separate constituencies
- C. Campaign strategies
  - 1. Candidate-centered campaigns: candidates (e.g., senators and representatives) have their own sources of support and power and do not rely on the central party organization.
    - i. Examples:

- 1) ✓ Democratic presidential candidates: George McGovern, Jimmy Carter, Michael Dukakis, Bill Clinton
- 2) ✓ 1992 Republican presidential primaries and Patrick Buchanan.
- D. General distrust of parties and party bosses.
  - 1. ✓In 2000 former Senator Bill Bradley's and Senator John McCain ran against he "establishment" and politics as usual.
  - 2. ✓ Jesse Ventura.
  - 3. ✓ Popularity of term limits reflects distrust of parties and party politics.
- E. Recent trends in party development:
  - 1. Television gives candidates independent "access" to voters.
    - i. Party leaders are "circumvented"
    - ii. And it increases costs of running for office
  - 2. Campaign finance reform during the 1970s strengthened interest groups
    - i. Perhaps surprisingly, campaign finance reforms have (in my view) further weakened parties.

#### VI. NEXT TIME:

- A. Campaign finances.
- B. Film, "Washington's Other Scandal"
- C. Reading:
  - 1. Required: David Broder, "The Party's Over," (1972) available through the Cyber Reserve Room or directly from <a href="http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/policamp/partysov.htm">http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/policamp/partysov.htm</a>. This is a good article.
  - 2. Suggested: "Toward a More Responsible Two-Party System: A Report of the Committee on Political Parties," American Political Science Review, September, 1950, available on "Jstor" at this address: http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0003-0554%28195009%2944%3C%3E1.0.C O%3B2-S.
    - i. Try to look at this document. It's one of the most famous and influential in American political science history. More important, it discusses the putative advantages of responsible parties.
  - 3. Required: The "Contract With America" in the Cyber Reserve Room.