## UNIVERSITY FACULTY SENATE FORMS

# Academic Program Approval

This form is a routing document for the approval of new and revised academic programs. Proposing department should complete this form. For more information, call the Faculty Senate Office at 831-2921.

| Submitted by: _Aaron Kupchik   | phone number_831-3267                                       |
|--|---|
| Department: _Sociology and Criminal Justice  | email address_akupchik@udel.edu                             |
| Date: _10/28/2013  | <del></del>   |
| Action: _Revise course requirements (by adding a macomprehensive exams for Ph.D. in Criminology and I (Example: add major/minor/concentration, delete major/minor/concentration, academic unit name change, requirements | Ph.D. in Sociology  |
| Effective  |   |
| term14F(use format 04F, 05W)   |   |
|  |   |
| Current degree Ph.D (Example: BA, BACH, BACJ, HBA, ED  | DD, MA, MBA, etc.)  |
| Proposed change leads to the degree of: Ph.D. (Example: B  | BA, BACH, BACJ, HBA, EDD, MA, MBA, etc.)                    |
| Proposed name:  Proposed new name for revised or new maje (if applicable)  | or / minor / concentration / academic unit                  |
| Revising or Deleting:  |   |
| Undergraduate major / Concentration:   |   |
| (Example: Ap   | pplied Music – Instrumental degree BMAS)                    |
| Undergraduate minor:   |   |
| (Example: African Studies, Bu  | usiness Administration, English, Leadership, etc.)          |
| Graduate Program Policy statement change   | e:Attached t attach your Graduate Program Policy Statement) |
| (Must  | t attach your Graduate Program Policy Statement)            |
| Graduate Program of Study: Ph.D. S (Example: Animal Science: MS Animal Science)  | Sociologycience: PHD Economics: MA Economics: PHD)          |
| Graduate minor / concentration:  |   |

Note: all graduate studies proposals must include an electronic copy of the Graduate Program Policy Document, highlighting the changes made to the original policy document.

List new courses required for the new or revised curriculum. How do they support the

## overall program objectives of the major/minor/concentrations)?

(Be aware that approval of the curriculum is dependent upon these courses successfully passing through the Course Challenge list. If there are no new courses enter "None")

While no new specific courses are required, we have created a minimum course credit hour requirement of 46 hours (15 3-credit courses plus a 1-credit teaching seminar). We previously had no credit hour minimum, only a series of required courses (which we have maintained). The new requirement is consistent with or below comparable programs at peer institutions, and consistent with students' current practices.

# Explain, when appropriate, how this new/revised curriculum supports the 10 goals of undergraduate education: <a href="http://www.ugs.udel.edu/gened/">http://www.ugs.udel.edu/gened/</a>

The changes affect graduate education only. Our revisions to Ph.D. programs in Sociology and Criminology consist of two changes:

- 1. We are changing our comprehensive exams from two six-hour, in camera exams, to one six-hour in camera exam and one qualifying paper. Additionally, students will not be required to take more than one course in preparation for any exam. These revisions come at the request of graduate students, and graduate students were involved in the revision process. We expect the new exam system to help guide students towards their dissertation study more efficiently by increasing their focus on their specific program of study while reducing the delay caused by the current exam system and maintaining rigorous performance standards.
- 2. We have created a minimum course credit hour requirement of 46 hours. We expect this to change very little, since the number of courses is consistent with current practices. This revision is a consequence of the changes we are making to our comprehensive exam system; since students will not be required to take as many courses in preparation for exams, we feel it is necessary to ensure that students take a sufficient number of courses. This system should preserve current practice in terms of number of courses taken, yet offer greater flexibility in what courses graduate students may select.

## Identify other units affected by the proposed changes:

(Attach permission from the affected units. If no other unit is affected, enter "None")

None

## Describe the rationale for the proposed program change(s):

(Explain your reasons for creating, revising, or deleting the curriculum or program.)

As stated above, these revisions come at the request of our graduate students. Our prior comprehensive exam system caused unnecessary delay in students' degree progress. The proposed exam system is better oriented toward students' dissertations, and will reduce delays in degree progress. Further, because some examinations had required several courses, students approached these courses with instrumental goals (i.e., how they can prepare for an exam) rather than intellectual or academic goals (i.e., how can I become a better scholar), and students often struggled to enroll in the required curriculum for any particular exam. We therefore propose that no comprehensive exam or qualifying paper requires more than one course in preparation.

This decoupling of courses and exams led to the second aspect of our revision – a required course credit hour minimum. Without the requirement of courses in exam preparation, and with no current course credit hour minimum, students may have been vulnerable to insufficient training

for a PhD, generally, by taking an insufficient number of courses. We have therefore created a 46 credit hour minimum. Replacing a system whereby course requirements were tied to comprehensive exams, with a credit hour minimum instead, will increase scheduling flexibility and encourage more well-rounded scholarship.

**Program Requirements:** 

(Show the new or revised curriculum as it should appear in the Course Catalog. If this is a revision, be sure to indicate the changes being made to the current curriculum and **include a side-by-side comparison** of the credit distribution before and after the proposed change.)

| ROUTING AND AUTHOR                     |                       |                      |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Department Chairperson                 | R. Welleami           | Date 11/4/2013       |
| Dean of College                        |                       |                      |
| Chairperson, College Curriculum Com    | mittee                | Date                 |
| Chairperson, Senate Com. on UG or Gl   | R Studies             | Date                 |
| Chairperson, Senate Coordinating Com   | ·                     | Date                 |
| Secretary, Faculty Senate              |                       | Date                 |
| Date of Senate Resolution              |                       | Date to be Effective |
| Registrar                              | Program Code          | Date                 |
| Vice Provost for Academic Affairs & In | nternational Programs | Date                 |
| Provost                                |                       | Date                 |
| Board of Trustee Notification          |                       | Date                 |
| Revised 02/09/2009 /khs                |                       |                      |

# Checklist for Curriculum Proposals

| 1. Are all signatures on the hard copy of the proposal?   |
|---|
| _X 2. Is the <b>effective date</b> correct?   |
| _X 3. Is the <b>rationale</b> for the proposal consistent with the changes proposed?  |
| _X 4. Does the proposed <b>number of credits</b> match the stated number?   |
| _n/a 5. Have affected units been identified and contacted? Are required <b>support letters</b> attached?  |
| _n/a 6. Is a <b>resolution</b> necessary? If so, is it attached?  |
| (Necessary for: establishing a major; disestablishing a major; a name change to any program with permanent status; a name change to a department or college; a transfer or creation of any department; request for permanent status). |
| _X 7. Are all courses (required or referenced) in the UDSIS Inventory or in the approval process?   |
| _n/a 8. Are all university requirements correctly specified?  |
| A. Breadth requirements.  |
| B. Multicultural requirement.   |
| C. Writing requirement.   |
| D. DLE requirement.   |
| _n/a 9. Are all <b>college requirements</b> correctly specified?  |
| _X 9. Is a side-by-side comparison provided?  |

# Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice Revision of Comprehensive Exams: Side-by-side comparison October 2013

## **Current Version**

# C. Ph.D. Comprehensive Exams

Candidates for the doctoral degree must be certified in two specialized areas. The department currently offers specialization in the following areas: Collective Behavior/Disaster Studies, Criminology, Deviance, Gender, Law and Society, Methodology/Statistics, Race, and Theory. NOTE: Students in Criminology may not select deviance as their second area.

Students are required to take at least one of their certified areas from the standing areas offered by the department. If the candidate wishes to be certified in a specialized area for which there is no standing area committee, she or he may petition to do so after securing the agreement of three (3) faculty members willing to serve as examiners in that area by providing reading lists, constructing and evaluating examinations and other certification requirements. The Graduate Policy Committee must review and approve the petition of the student and inform the Director of Graduate Studies and the Chairperson of the department of its decision.

## 1. Administration of Comprehensive Exams

Two dates will be established for comprehensive examinations, one at the beginning of the semester and one at the end of the semester. A given area exam, e.g., deviance, will be offered once per semester. The Director of Graduate Studies, in consultation with the area committee chairs, is in charge of scheduling. Comprehensive examinations will be administered to students in a common room with a maximum 6-hour

### **Revised Version**

## C. Ph.D. Comprehensive Exams

Candidates for the doctoral degree must be certified in two specialized areas. The department currently offers specialization in the following areas: Disasters and the Environment, Criminology, Deviance, Gender, Law and Society, Methodology/Statistics, Race, and Theory. Students in Criminology may not select deviance as their second area.

Doctoral students in our department must pass a comprehensive examination in one area and write a qualifying paper with a passing grade in the other area. Students are required to take at least one of their certified areas from the standing areas offered by the department. If the candidate wishes to be certified in a specialized area for which there is no standing area committee, she or he may petition to do so after securing the agreement of three (3) faculty members willing to serve as examiners in that area by providing reading lists, constructing and evaluating examinations and other certification requirements. The Graduate Policy Committee must review and approve the petition of the student and inform the Director of Graduate Studies and the Chairperson of the department of its decision.

# 1. Administration of Comprehensive Exams and Papers

A given area exam, e.g., deviance, will be offered once per semester, on a date to be determined by the Director of Graduate Studies in consultation with the area committee chairs. Comprehensive examinations will be administered to students in a common room with a maximum 6-hour time allotment and

time allotment and proctored by a faculty member.

### 2. Area Committees

Area committees are responsible for preparing reading lists, overseeing the respective curriculum in this area, and providing students with written guidelines to aid them in the selection of courses and preparation for examinations. Area committees are responsible for designing the specific certification requirements for their particular area, preparing examination questions, and reading and evaluating exams.

Published guidelines and reading lists are reviewed and revised as necessary, usually on a regular cycle of every two (2) years.

Members of area committees and their chairs are appointed by the Chair of the Department. Committees are composed of at least three (3) members of the faculty, but committees may consult other members of the faculty with competence in the area during the preparation of exams and reading lists.

Chairs of the committees are responsible for notifying students of their exam results.

Committee Chairs are also required to notify the Director of graduate Studies of the results of examinations within one month of the exam.

# 3. Scope of the Examinations

Minimal preparation for written examinations includes the review of reading lists provided by area committees. However, reading lists are merely guidelines and should not be considered as the sole basis for examinations. Committees are responsible for clarification of the goals of reading lists, with specific attention to the question of whether

proctored by a faculty member.

### 2. Area Committees

Area committees are responsible for preparing reading lists, overseeing the respective curriculum in this area, and providing students with written guidelines to aid them in the selection of courses and preparation for examinations. Area committees are responsible for designing the specific certification requirements for their particular area, preparing examination questions, and reading and evaluating exams.

Published guidelines and reading lists are reviewed and revised as necessary, usually on a regular cycle of every two (2) years; reading lists are to trend toward equality in length across comprehensive exam areas and committees should make them available on the department website and in the sociology office to students at the beginning of the academic year. The GPC will oversee these requirements.

Members of area committees and their chairs are appointed by the Chair of the Department. Committees are composed of at least three (3) members of the faculty, but committees may consult other members of the faculty with competence in the area during the preparation of exams and reading lists.

Chairs of the committees are responsible for notifying students of their exam results within three (3) weeks (see #6 below for more details). Committee Chairs are also required to notify the Director of Graduate Studies and the GPC of the results of examinations.

## 3. Scope of the Examinations

Minimal preparation for written examinations includes mastery of material on the reading lists provided by area committees. However,

such lists represent "minimal" or "exhaustive" definitions of the core literature. For each area of certification the candidate is expected to be: up-to-date with the literature in the field at the time of the exam, able to discuss the most important controversies, issues and problems (in both theory and methodology) that exist in the field, and capable of evaluating existing theory and methodology and suggesting new direction of effort.

# 4. Pre-requisites and Schedule of Examinations

Students are required to declare their intent to take a comprehensive examination, in writing, within the first two weeks of the previous semester to the Director of Graduate Studies who will notify the relevant Area Committee. The Committee will schedule an interview with the student to explore his or her level of preparedness. Students are expected to provide Committee members with written documentation of their preparedness in that area prior to the interview (e.g. courses taken, etc.) IMPORTANT: All Ph.D. course requirements, including any outstanding incomplete grades, must be completed before students are eligible to take Ph.D. examinations.

Students are required to take both comprehensive exams (and repeats thereof) in the same semester, or in consecutive semesters.

## 5. Grading

The outcome of examinations is determined by majority vote. There are four possible outcomes: Pass with distinction, Pass, Conditional outcome, Fail.

Conditional Outcomes: Students receiving this grade are required to complete additional work within two months of formal notification of the committee's decision. If the committee is

reading lists are merely guidelines and should not be considered as the sole basis for examinations. Committees are responsible for clarification of the goals of reading lists, with specific attention to the question of whether such lists represent "minimal" or "exhaustive" definitions of the core literature. For each area of certification the candidate is expected to be: up-to-date with the literature in the field at the time of the exam, able to discuss the most important controversies, issues and problems (in both theory and methodology) that exist in the field, and capable of evaluating existing theory and methodology and suggesting new direction of effort.

Course Requirements. No area comprehensive exam shall require more than one course beyond the requirements of the PhD degree. The area committee can, however, recommend additional courses. Recommended courses are those the committee advises, but does not require, students to take.

## 4. Qualifying Paper

In addition to the written comprehensive exam in one area, students must write a qualifying paper in the other area. The same stipulations for course requirements as the area exam are required.

This paper should be of "publishable quality" (though it need not be submitted for publication) and should focus on specific areas in the literature, foundational pieces on the topic, and conclude with more specificity and application of the material relevant to the student's interests within the broad area of study. It should not be a dissertation proposal; rather, its intention is to evaluate students' critical thinking/analytic skills. A good model is found in the articles published in the journal Annual Review of Sociology. The paper must reflect the student's own work and not work done in collaboration with co-authors or faculty mentors. After the student turns in the qualifying paper, the area committee with meet with the student to conduct an oral defense.

satisfied with the quality of the work, the student will receive a grade of Pass. If the committee is not satisfied with the quality of the work, the student will receive a grade of Fail.

Within one week of formal notification of the grade, students will receive a detailed evaluation in writing from the chair of the area committee.

Students who fail a written exam, or any part thereof, may request a re-reading from the original area examination committee. This request is to be submitted within 20 days of the student receiving the detailed written evaluation.

## 6. Repeats of Examinations

- Only one repeat for each area is permitted. Failure on a repeat examination is a permanent failure for that area.
- Three failures of Ph.D. comprehensive examinations in any combination shall result in dismissal from the program.

Grading for the qualifying paper and dissemination of results will be the same as those stated for the comprehensive exam.

Papers will be due during the final week of classes of the semester, unless the student and exam committee agree on an extension (in which case a new due date will be set by the committee). Exam committees will provide guidance and feedback to students as they work on the paper; students must request feedback from the committee chair, who will in turn seek input from other faculty members.

# **5.** Pre-requisites and Schedule of Examinations

Students are required to declare their intent to take a comprehensive examination or write the qualifying paper, in writing, within the first two weeks of the previous semester to the Director of Graduate Studies who will notify the relevant Area Committee. The Committee will schedule an interview with the student to explore his or her level of preparedness. Students are expected to provide Committee members with written documentation of their preparedness in that area prior to the interview (e.g. courses taken, etc.). IMPORTANT: All Ph.D. course requirements, including any outstanding incomplete grades, must be completed before students are eligible to take Ph.D. examinations.

Students are encouraged to complete both the exam and qualifying paper during the two semesters immediately after they complete course requirements.

In the case of a failing grade, the student must retake the exam or rewrite the paper in the

# 6. Grading

following semester.

The outcome of examinations and qualifying

papers is determined by majority vote. There are four possible outcomes: Pass with distinction, Pass, Conditional outcome, Fail.

Conditional Outcomes: Students receiving this grade are required to complete additional work within two months of formal notification of the committee's decision. If the committee is satisfied with the quality of the work, the student will receive a grade of Pass. If the committee is not satisfied with the quality of the work, the student will receive a grade of Fail.

Students earning "conditional" outcomes have the option, at the committee's approval, to respond 1) orally or 2) by the traditional written form as indicated in the current policy. The student, in consultation with the area comprehensive exam chair and his/her mentor(s) will have two weeks to make the decision and notify the area chair and the Director of Graduate Studies.

Within one week of formal notification of the grade, students will receive a detailed evaluation in writing from the chair of the area committee.

Students who fail a written exam or qualifying paper, or any part thereof, may request a rereading from the original area examination committee. This request is to be submitted within 20 days of the student receiving the detailed written evaluation.

# 7. Repeats of Examinations

Only one repeat for each area is permitted. Failure on a repeat examination or paper is a permanent failure for that area. Three failures of Ph.D. comprehensive examinations or qualifying papers in any combination shall result in dismissal from the program.

# Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice Revision of Course Credit Hour Requirement: Side-by-side comparison October 2013

### **Current Version**

## 1. Ph.D. in Sociology

To permit maximum flexibility for developing an individualized Ph.D. program, there are no minimum course hour requirements. However, the following specific courses are required:

# 2. Ph.D. in Criminology

To permit maximum flexibility for developing an individualized Ph.D. program, there are no minimum course hour requirements. However, the following specific courses are required:

## **Revised Version**

## 1. Ph.D. in Sociology

Students must complete a minimum of 46 course credit hours (not including thesis credits), plus 9 dissertation credits. Independent studies cannot be used to count for more than 6 of these 46 required course credits. Students are encouraged to: take a broad array of courses, go beyond this minimum credit total in order to pursue additional learning opportunities, and consider courses outside the department. The following specific courses are required:

## 2. Ph.D. in Criminology

Students must complete a minimum of 46 course credit hours (not including thesis credits), plus 9 dissertation credits. Independent studies cannot be used to count for more than 6 of these 46 required course credits. Students are encouraged to: take a broad array of courses, go beyond this minimum credit total in order to pursue additional learning opportunities, and consider courses outside the department. The following specific courses are required:

# Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice Graduate Catalog Revisions: Side-by-side comparison

| <b>CURRENT</b>   |   | <u>NEW</u>  |   |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| All new stud seminar.  | ents are required to take a non-credit one-hour pro-  | All new students are required to take a non-credit one-hour proseminar.   |   |  |
| M.A. in Soci   | ology   | M.A. in Soci  | ology   |  |
| 1) M.A. in S   | ociology With Thesis Option   | 1) M.A. in S  | ociology With Thesis Option   |  |
| SOCI 605<br>SOCI 612   | Data Collection Development of Sociological Theory  | SOCI 605<br>SOCI 612  | Data Collection Development of Sociological Theory  |  |
| One course f<br><u>SOCI 606</u><br><u>SOCI 614</u><br><u>SOCI 625</u><br><u>PSYC 878</u>   | Qualitative Methodology OR Advanced Data Analysis OR Advanced Social Statistics OR Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR (another course approved by the department methods comprehensive exam committee) | One course fr<br><u>SOCI 606</u><br><u>SOCI 614</u><br><u>SOCI 625</u><br><u>PSYC 878</u>   | Qualitative Methodology OR Advanced Data Analysis OR Advanced Social Statistics OR Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR (another course approved by the department methods comprehensive exam committee) |  |
| 5 elective courses from at least two different substantive areas to assure breadth in substantive areas of sociology. Substantive areas include: Race, Gender, Collective Behavior/Disasters/Social Movements, Criminology, Law and Society, Deviance, Theory, and Research Methods. |   | 5 elective courses from at least two different substantive areas to assure breadth in substantive areas of sociology. Substantive areas include: Race, Gender, Disasters and the Environment, Criminology, Law and Society, Deviance, Theory, and Research Methods. |   |  |
| SOCI 869   | MA Thesis (6 credits) cannot be taken pass/fail   | SOCI 869  | MA Thesis (6 credits) cannot be taken pass/fail   |  |

# 2) M.A. in Sociology With Master's Examination Option

If a student chooses not to pursue a thesis, he/she can complete the M.A. in Sociology with a Master's Examination Option. However, this is for a terminal M.A. only.

| SOCI 605        | Data Collection                    |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| SOCI 612        | Development of Sociological Theory |
| <b>SOCI 621</b> | Deviance                           |

## One course from the following:

| 0110 000100 1   |                                  |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| SOCI 606        | Qualitative Methodology OR       |
| <b>SOCI 614</b> | Advanced Data Analysis OR        |
| <b>SOCI 625</b> | Advanced Social Statistics OR    |
|                 | Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR  |
| PSYC 878        | (another course approved by the  |
|                 | department methods comprehensive |
|                 | exam committee)                  |

6 elective courses from at least two different substantive areas to assure breadth in substantive areas of sociology. Substantive areas include: Race, Gender, Collective Behavior/Disasters/Social Movements, Criminology, Law and Society, Deviance, Theory, and Research Methods.

# M.A. in Criminology

# 1) M.A. in Criminology with Thesis Option

| SOCI 605        | Data Collection                    |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| <b>SOCI 612</b> | Development of Sociological Theory |
| SOCI 835        | Theoretical Criminology I          |

One course from the following:

# 2) M.A. in Sociology With Master's Examination Option

If a student chooses not to pursue a thesis, he/she can complete the M.A. in Sociology with a Master's Examination Option. However, this is for a terminal M.A. only.

| <u>30C1 003</u> | Data Conection                     |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| <b>SOCI 612</b> | Development of Sociological Theory |
| <b>SOCI 621</b> | Deviance                           |
|                 |                                    |
| One course f    | from the following:                |
| <b>SOCI 606</b> | Qualitative Methodology OR         |
| <b>SOCI 614</b> | Advanced Data Analysis OR          |
| <b>SOCI 625</b> | Advanced Social Statistics OR      |
|                 | Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR    |

Data Collection

PSYC 878

Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR
(another course approved by the department methods comprehensive exam committee)

6 elective courses from at least two different substantive areas to assure breadth in substantive areas of sociology. Substantive areas include: Race, Gender, Disasters and the Environment, Criminology, Law and Society, Deviance, Theory, and Research Methods.

# M.A. in Criminology

SOCI 605

# 1) M.A. in Criminology with Thesis Option

| SOCI 605        | Data Collection                    |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| <b>SOCI 612</b> | Development of Sociological Theory |
| SOCI 835        | Theoretical Criminology I          |

One course from the following:

| SOCI 606        | Qualitative Methodology OR               | <b>SOCI 606</b> | Qualitative Methodology OR       |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>SOCI 614</b> | Advanced Data Analysis OR                | <b>SOCI 614</b> | Advanced Data Analysis OR        |
| <b>SOCI 625</b> | Advanced Social Statistics OR            | <b>SOCI 625</b> | Advanced Social Statistics OR    |
|                 | Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR          |                 | Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR  |
| <u>PSYC 878</u> | (another course approved by the PSYC 878 |                 | (another course approved by the  |
|                 | department methods comprehensive         | <u>FS1C 8/8</u> | department methods comprehensive |
|                 | exam committee)                          |                 | exam committee)                  |

4 elective courses (12 credits) from Group A and B with at least 4 elective courses (12 credits) from Group A and B with at least one course selected from each group:

one course selected from each group:

| Group A: C: SOCI 621<br>SOCI 630<br>SOCI 836                            | Social Deviant Behavior Social Deviance Juvenile Justice and Inequality Application of Criminological Theory and Empirical Tests When topic is crime, deviance, law or social control                | Group A: Cr<br>SOCI 621<br>SOCI 630<br>SOCI 836<br>SOCI 667 | Social Deviant Behavior Social Deviance Juvenile Justice and Inequality Application of Criminological Theory and Empirical Tests When topic is crime, deviance, law or social control  |
|---|--|---|--|
| Group B: Cr<br>SOCI 637<br>SOCI 655<br>SOCI 658<br>SOCI 667<br>SOCI 837 | Law and Society in Historical Perspective Law and Society Social Science, Law and the Legal Process When topic is crime, deviance, law or social control Criminology and Systems of Criminal Justice | SOCI 655 SOCI 658 SOCI 667 SOCI 837                         | riminal Justice and Legal Systems Law and Society in Historical Perspective Law and Society Social Science, Law and the Legal Process When topic is crime, deviance, law or social control Criminology and Systems of Criminal Justice |

6 thesis credits 6 thesis credits

# 2) M.A. in Criminology with Master's Examination Option

If a student chooses not to pursue a thesis, he/she can complete the M.A. in Criminology with a Master's Examination Option. However, this is for a terminal M.A. only.

| SOCI 605 | Data Collection and Analysis       |
|----------|------------------------------------|
| SOCI 612 | Development of Sociological Theory |

# One course from the following:

**SOCI 835** 

**SOCI** 

| SOCI 606 | Qualitative Methodology OR   |
|----------|--|
| SOCI 614 | Advanced Data Analysis OR  |
| SOCI 625 | Advanced Social Statistics OR  |
| PSYC 878 | Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR  |
|          | (another course approved by the department comprehensive exam committee) |

## 2) M.A. in Criminology with Master's Examination Option

If a student chooses not to pursue a thesis, he/she can complete the M.A. in Criminology with a Master's Examination Option. However, this is for a terminal M.A. only.

Data Collection and Analysis

comprehensive exam committee)

| <u>SOCI 612</u> | Development of Sociologica | l Theory |
|-----------------|----------------------------|----------|
| <u>SOCI 612</u> | Development of Sociologica | Theory   |

# One course from the following:

**SOCI 605** 

SOCI

| SOCI 606        | Qualitative Methodology OR               |
|-----------------|--|
| SOCI 614        | Advanced Data Analysis OR                |
| <u>SOCI 625</u> | Advanced Social Statistics OR            |
| <b>PSYC 878</b> | Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR          |
| ne              | (another course approved by the departme |

| SOCI 835 | Theoretical Criminology I |
|----------|---------------------------|
|----------|---------------------------|

6 Elective courses (18 credits) from Group A and B with at least 6 Elective courses (18 credits) from Group A and B with at one course from each group:

Theoretical Criminology I

least one course from each group:

# **Group A: Criminal and Deviant Behavior**

Social Deviance

| <u>621</u>      |   |
|-----------------|---|
| SOCI 630        | Juvenile Justice and Inequality                   |
| <u>SOCI 836</u> | Application of Criminological Theory and En Tests |
| SOCI 667        | When topic is crime, deviance, law or social c    |

# **Group A: Criminal and Deviant Behavior**

| <u>621</u>      | Social Deviance                                   |
|-----------------|---|
| <b>SOCI 630</b> | Juvenile Justice and Inequality                   |
| SOCI 836        | Application of Criminological Theory and En Tests |
| c SOCI 667      | When topic is crime, deviance, law or social c    |

# **Group B: Criminal Justice and Legal Systems**

# **Group B: Criminal Justice and Legal Systems**

| <u>SOCI 637</u> | Law and Society in Historical Perspective      | <u>SOCI 637</u>             | Law and Society in Historical Perspective            |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|--|
| SOCI 655        | Law and Society                                | SOCI 655                    | Law and Society                                      |
| SOCI 658        | Social Science, Law and the Legal Process      | <u>SOCI 658</u>             | Social Science, Law and the Legal Process            |
| SOCI 667        | When topic is crime, deviance, law or social c | co <u>n<b>to 6</b>1</u> 667 | When topic is crime, deviance, law or social control |
| <u>SOCI 837</u> | Criminology and Systems of Criminal Justice    | <u>SOCI 837</u>             | Criminology and Systems of Criminal Justice          |

# Ph.D. in Sociology

To permit maximum flexibility for developing an individualized Ph.D. program, there are no minimum course hour requirements. However, the following specific courses are required:

# Ph.D. in Sociology

Students must complete a minimum of 46 course credit hours (not including thesis credits), plus 9 dissertation credits. Independent studies cannot be used to count for more than 6 of these 46 required course credits. Students are encouraged to: take a broad array of courses, go beyond this minimum credit total in order to pursue additional learning opportunities, and consider courses outside the department. The following specific courses are required:

| <b>SOCI 605</b> | Data Collection and Analysis               | <b>SOCI 605</b> | Data Collection and Analysis               |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|--|
| <b>SOCI 614</b> | Advanced Data Analysis                     | <b>SOCI 614</b> | Advanced Data Analysis                     |
| <b>SOCI 606</b> | Qualitative Methodology                    | <b>SOCI 606</b> | Qualitative Methodology                    |
|                 |  |                 |  |
| SOCI 612        | Development of Sociological Theory         | <b>SOCI 612</b> | Development of Sociological Theory         |
| <b>SOCI 813</b> | Current Issues in Social Theory            | <b>SOCI 813</b> | Current Issues in Social Theory            |
| <b>SOCI 698</b> | Teaching in the Social Sciences (1 credit) | <b>SOCI 698</b> | Teaching in the Social Sciences (1 credit) |
|                 |  |                 |  |
| One course      | from the following:                        | One course f    | rom the following:                         |

# One course from the following:

| <b>SOCI 625</b> | Advanced Social Statistics OR             | <b>SOCI 625</b> | Advanced Social Statistics OR             |
|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|
|                 | Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR (another  | SOCI676         | Advanced Qualitative Methodology OR       |
| <b>PSYC 878</b> | course approved by the department methods |                 | Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR (another  |
|                 | comprehensive exam committee)             | <b>PSYC 878</b> | course approved by the department methods |
|                 |   |                 | comprehensive exam committee)             |

<sup>4</sup> elective courses (12 credits excluding independent studies)

# Comprehensive Exams in two areas

SOCI 969 Dissertation (9 credits)

# Comprehensive Exam in one area and Qualifying Paper in one area

SOCI 969 Dissertation (9 credits)

# Ph.D. in Criminology

To permit maximum flexibility for developing an individualized PhD program, there are no minimum course hour requirements. However, the following specific courses are required.

## Ph.D. in Criminology

One course from the following:

Students must complete a minimum of 46 course credit hours (not including thesis credits), plus 9 dissertation credits.

Independent studies cannot be used to count for more than 6 of these 46 required course credits. Students are encouraged to: take a broad array of courses, go beyond this minimum credit total in order to pursue additional learning opportunities, and consider courses outside the department. The following specific courses are required:

| SOCI 605                                     | Data Collection and Analysis   | SOCI 605                                     | Data Collection and Analysis  |
|--|--|--|---|
| SOCI 614                                     | Advanced Data Analysis   | SOCI 614                                     | Advanced Data Analysis  |
| SOCI 606                                     | Qualitative Methodology  | SOCI 606                                     | Qualitative Methodology   |
| SOCI 612<br>SOCI 835<br>SOCI 836<br>SOCI 698 | Development of Sociological Theory Theoretical Criminology I Application of Criminological Theory and Empirical Tests Teaching in the Social Sciences (1 credit) | SOCI 612<br>SOCI 835<br>SOCI 836<br>SOCI 698 | Development of Sociological Theory<br>Theoretical Criminology I<br>Application of Criminological Theory<br>and Empirical Tests<br>Teaching in the Social Sciences (1<br>credit) |

# One course from the following:

| <b>SOCI 625</b> | Advanced Social Statistics       | SOCI 625            | Advanced Social Statistics OR    |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
|                 | Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR  | SOCI 625<br>SOCI676 | Advanced Qualitative Methodology |
| <u>PSYC 878</u> | (another course approved by the  | SOC1070             | OR                               |
|                 | department methods comprehensive | <u>PSYC 878</u>     | Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR  |
|                 | exam committee)                  |                     | (another course approved by the  |

department methods comprehensive exam committee)

4 elective courses (excluding independent studies) from the courses listed in Parts A and B of the MA.; HIGHLY RECOMMENDED: SOCI 837 - Criminology and Systems of Criminal Justice

Comprehensive exam in Criminology and one additional area, except Social Deviance

SOCI 969 Dissertation (9 credits)

Comprehensive Exam in one area and Qualifying Paper in one area

SOCI 969 Dissertation (9 credits)

# GRADUATE POLICY HANDBOOK

Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice University of Delaware

> Edited: September, 201<u>3</u>+ Effective: July 20<u>0</u>14

Introduction
Program Overview
Requirements for Admission
Financial Aid
Transfer of Credit
Advisement
Regulations Regarding Graduate Status
Course Requirements for the Master's Degree
Course Requirements for the Doctoral Program
Instructional Education for Teaching Assistants
Addendum

### INTRODUCTION

The Graduate Policy Handbook includes all policies and procedures pertinent to the graduate program in the Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice. The interpretation of these policies and procedures is the responsibility of the Graduate Policy Committee. Petitions for waivers of any requirements are the responsibility of, and must have the approval of, the Graduate Policy Committee.

Students may appeal decisions of the GPC following this procedure:

- 1. Petition the GPC for reconsideration by adding additional information that the applicant feels may be significant.
- 2. In the event the GPC does not change its decision, students may petition the full faculty. A 3/4 vote is required to overrule the GPC.

Students who allege they have been aggrieved because of perceived discrimination on the basis of race, sex, sexual orientation, handicap or because a member of the University community fails to follow published University or Departmental procedure should utilize the Student Grievance Procedure stipulated by University policy.

Deletions or modifications to the Graduate Policy Handbook become effective at the beginning of the following academic year. Students are subject to rules policies

existing at the time of entrance into the program; if a change in policy is approved by the Faculty Senate while a graduate student is enrolled in the program, s/he may choose between the new policy or old policy regarding future expectations or requirements.

Students should also consult the Graduate Catalog of the University of Delaware for University regulations regarding graduate degrees.

This version of the Graduate Handbook includes all revisions made since the publication of the original in 1991.

#### Important:

Requirements and other information are subject to change. Students are responsible for maintaining up to date information.

#### I. PROGRAM OVERVIEW

The Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice offers a Master of Arts and a Doctor of Philosophy degree program in both Sociology and Criminology. The primary focus of the program is the preparation of members of the next generation of sociologists and criminologists by emphasizing systematic training in theory and research methodology as well as teaching. These advanced education degrees are intended for persons interested in careers in academia, public service, or private enterprise. The Department has a large number of full-time distinguished faculty from the disciplines of Sociology, Philosophy, Law, Political Science, Criminology, History and Criminal Justice. This allows students to work closely with faculty members while preserving a reasonable breadth of interests. Thus, while both the Sociology and Criminology degrees rely on strong theoretical and methodological foundations, they also allow students to tailor a program that meets their individual needs.

## **TOP**

#### II. REQUIREMENTS FOR ADMISSION

(International Students See: http://www.udel.edu/ofs)

All admission and funding decisions are made by the Graduate Policy Committee (GPC). Applicants are evaluated on several criteria: GRE scores-, undergraduate/graduate grade point average, letters of recommendation (three), and applicant's statement of objectives. Applicants are also encouraged to submit a short writing sample. The GPC may also consider a limited number of other factors, including challenging social, economic, educational, cultural or other life circumstances, quality of undergraduate program, undergraduate major, relevant work/field/research experience, publications and reports, presentations, or other work demonstrating the ability to do graduate study in the field. International

students must submit TOEFL or IELTS scores. Admission to the graduate program is selective and competitive based on the number of well-qualified applicants and the limits of available faculty and facilities. Those who meet minimum academic requirements are not guaranteed admission, nor are those who fail to meet those requirements necessarily precluded from admission if they offer other appropriate strengths. Completed applications are due February 1. We offer Fall admission only.

## III. FINANCIAL AID

### A. Procedures for Awarding Funding

Financial aid is available to graduate students in the form of teaching assistantships, research assistantships, tuition scholarships, and University fellowships. Assistantships and fellowships consist of tuition and a stipend for the September-May academic year. Awards are competitive and merit-based.

All funding decisions are made in consultation with the Graduate Policy Committee, the Director of Graduate Studies, and the Department Chair. Continuing students without funding may petition the GPC to be considered for future funding. Letters of petition should be sent to the Chair of the GPC by the end of the Fall semester in order to be considered for funding for the following academic year.

#### B. Procedures for Assigning Assistantships

Research Assistantships: Appointments are made in consultation with the faculty member conducting the research, the Director of Graduate Studies and the Department Chair. Faculty who anticipate funding for a research assistantship for the following academic year are encouraged to contact graduate students to inform them of possible research assistantship opportunities and to identify qualified students who wish to work on their projects. Research assistantships on funded projects may be offered to students not currently funded by the University or Department.

Teaching Assistantship: Appointments are made by the Director of Graduate Studies in consultation with the Chair each semester. Faculty are required to submit a request for a teaching assistant form for each semester they are seeking an assistant specifying their expectations of the assistant (e.g., facilitation of classroom discussion, preparation and grading of examinations).

The assignment of graduate students to faculty members should balance scholarly interests and professional objectives of the student and the teaching and research needs of the department. The Director of Graduate Studies may change assignments as necessary and make additional assignments if new sources of

funding become available.

## C. Responsibilities of Funded Students

Assistantships carry the expectation of twenty hours of work per week. University guidelines stipulate that students holding assistantships are expected to give their full-time attention to graduate study and their assigned assistantship work during the fall and spring semesters. Students receiving University fellowships are expected to devote their full time to graduate study and may not engage in any remunerative employment while holding the fellowship. Students holding tuition scholarships have no employment restrictions. All students receiving financial aid must maintain a minimum grade point average of 3.0. Students awarded assistantships must satisfactorily fulfill the requirements of their assignments.

## D. Limits on Funding

Typically, eligibility for student funding is limited to 2 years of funding for students with a B.A. to earn the M.A. degree, and 3 additional years for these students to earn the Ph.D; for students entering with an M.A. degree, eligibility in typical cases ends after 4 years of funding. The GPC may recommend extending these time frames based on a student's progress toward his/her degree and individual circumstances, but there are no guarantees.

Funded students are not required to apply for renewal of their financial aid. Student funding is renewed as long as they remain in good standing in the program. "Good standing" is defined as strong performance in coursework, satisfactory fulfillment of their research/teaching assistantship duties, and timely progress toward completion of the degree. The Director of Graduate Studies is in charge of monitoring student progress, in consultation with the Graduate Policy Committee.

#### **TOP**

#### IV. TRANSFER OF CREDIT

A maximum of nine (9) hours of graduate credit may be transferred toward the master's or Doctoral degree.

Petitions for graduate credit transfer may be submitted only after six (6) hours of graduate work have been completed at the University of Delaware. All petitions for transfer of graduate credit require the approval of the Graduate Policy Committee. However, it is recommended that incoming students, who have not yet completed six hours of graduate work, discuss with the Director of Graduate Studies their intentions to petition the Graduate Policy Committee. The Director of Graduate Studies will advise students if any of their Master's level courses may be eligible for substitution for the department's course requirements, and make suggestions for course enrollment. Students will need material to support their requests, which will

be evaluated by the faculty member who teaches that course as well as the GPC.

Only credits earned with grades of B- or higher are transferable.

#### V.ADVISEMENT

All students entering the program are assigned a Faculty Advisor and Graduate Student Peer Mentor. Assignments attempt to match the academic interests of students and faculty.

The academic advisor and student should plan and periodically review a plan of study that fulfills departmental requirement and provides comprehensive professional training. This plan of study should take account of students' backgrounds and available departmental resources.

Final responsibility for course selection and the meeting of departmental requirements resides with the student.

#### VI. REGULATIONS REGARDING GRADUATE STATUS

#### A. Definition of Full-time Status

Students holding teaching or research assistantships involving specific responsibilities are required to register for a minimum of 6 graduate credits per semester. Please note, however, that in order to make normal progress through the program, it is typically necessary to take more than 6 credits per semester. Students holding a fellowship or scholarship with no work assignment are required to register for at least 9 credits of graduate level courses per semester.

Full time status is defined as 9 hours for unfunded students.

#### **B.** Normal Progress and Time Limits for Completion of the Degrees

Normal Progress: Students are expected to make continuing progress toward the completion of their graduate education. In order to assess their progress and professional development, each graduate student is required to submit a self-evaluation to the Director of Graduate Studies by April 15. The self-evaluation should cover the student's activities involving completion of required course work, area examinations, thesis or dissertation progress, professional engagement (publications, conference presentations, involvement in external funding activities, and participation in research projects other than the thesis or dissertation), teaching, and other relevant items. In addition, a letter from one faculty member of the student's choice should be submitted. Under ordinary circumstances we define

"normal progress" in the following ways:

Full-time students entering the master's program are expected to complete their master's degree by the end of their second year in the program. Optimally, sStudents continuing into the doctoral program are expected to complete the doctorate by the end of their fifth year in the program.

Optimally, fFull-time students entering the Ph.D. program with a master's degree from another program or university are expected to complete the doctorate by the end of their fourth year in the program.

**Time Limits:** The statutes of limitations adopted by the Office of Graduate and Professional Education are as follows: For students entering into a master's program, ten consecutive semesters (5 years). Students completing the requirements for the master's degree who are subsequently granted admission into the doctoral program are given an additional 10 consecutive semesters (5 years). Students entering the doctoral program with a master's degree are given 10 consecutive semesters (5 years).

Exceeding these limits may result in dismissal from the program. Requests for extensions must be made in writing by the student and approved by the Graduate Policy Committee before they can be considered by the Office of Graduate and Professional Education.

### C. Quality of Graduate Work

Consistent with University regulations, a minimum "B" average (3.00) is required for certification of readiness to take comprehensive examinations and for conferral of a graduate degree. Students should consult the University catalog for further information regarding graduate standing.

#### **TOP**

#### VII. REQUIREMENTS FOR THE MASTER'S DEGREE

#### A. Course Requirements

All students at the master's level are required to take 30 hours of graduate credit, at least 21 of which must be in the Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice.

Students have two M.A. options:

Thesis Option (Sociology or Criminology)

Examination Option (Sociology or Criminology)

All new students are required to take a non-credit one-hour pro-seminar.

#### Courses Required for M.A. in Sociology

1) M.A. in Sociology with Thesis Option

SOCI 605 - Data Collection

SOCI 612 - Development of Sociological Theory

One course from the following:

SOCI 606 - Qualitative Methodology OR

SOCI 614 - Advanced Data Analysis OR

SOCI 625 - Advanced Social Statistics OR

PSYC <u>867878</u> – Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR (another course approved by the department methods comprehensive exam committee)

5 elective courses - from at least two different substantive areas to assure breadth in substantive areas of sociology. Substantive areas include: Race, Gender, Collective Behavior/Disasters/Social Movements Disasters and the Environment, Criminology, Law and Society, Deviance, Theory, and Research Methods.

SOCI 869 - M.A. Thesis (6 credits) cannot be taken pass/fail

2) M.A. in Sociology with Master's Examination Option If a student chooses not to pursue a thesis, he/she can come

If a student chooses not to pursue a thesis, he/she can complete the M.A. in Sociology with a Master's Examination Option. However, this is for a terminal M.A. only.

SOCI 605- Data Collection

SOCI 612 - Development of Sociological Theory

SOCI 621 - Deviance

One course from the following:

SOCI 606 - Qualitative Methodology OR

SOCI 614 - Advanced Data Analysis OR

SOCI 625 - Advanced Social Statistics OR

PSYC 867878 – Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR

(another course approved by the department methods comprehensive exam committee)

6 elective courses - from at least two different substantive areas to assure breadth in substantive areas of sociology. Substantive areas include:

Race, Gender, <u>Disasters and the EnvironmentCollective</u>
<u>Behavior/Disasters/Social Movements</u>, Criminology, Law and Society, Deviance, Theory, and Research Methods.

#### Courses Required for M.A. in Criminology

1) M.A. in Criminology with Thesis Option

SOCI 605 - Data Collection

SOCI 612 - Development of Sociological Theory

SOCI 835 - Theoretical Criminology I

One course from the following:

SOCI 606 – Qualitative Methodology OR

SOCI 614 – Advanced Data Analysis OR

SOCI 625 - Advanced Social Statistics OR

PSYC 867878 – Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR

(another course approved by the department methods comprehensive exam committee)

4 elective courses (12 credits) from Group A and B with at least one course from each group:

## **Group A: Criminal and Deviant Behavior**

SOCI 621 - Social Deviance

SOCI 630 - Juvenile Justice & Inequality

SOCI 836 - Application of Criminological Theory and Empirical Tests

SOCI 667 - When topic is crime or deviance

### Group B: Criminal Justice and Legal Systems

SOCI 655 - Law and Society

SOCI 637 - Law and Society in Historical Perspective

SOCI 658 - Social Science, Law, and the Legal Process

SOCI 667 - When topic is law or justice system

SOCI 837 - Criminology and Systems of Criminal Justice

6 thesis credits

2) M.A. in Criminology with Master's Examination Option
If a student chooses not to pursue a thesis, he/she can complete the M.A.
in Criminology with a Master's Examination Option. However, this is for

a terminal M.A. only.

SOCI 605 - Data Collection

SOCI 612 - Development of Sociological Theory

One course from the following:

SOCI 606 - Qualitative Methodology OR

SOCI 614 – Advanced Data Analysis OR

SOCI 625 - Advanced Social Statistics OR

PSYC 867878 – Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR

(another course approved by the department methods comprehensive exam committee)

SOCI 835 - Theoretical Criminology I

6 elective courses (18 credits) from Group A and B with at least one course from each group:

#### Group A: Criminal and Deviant Behavior

SOCI 621 - Social Deviance

SOCI 630 - Juvenile Justice & Inequality

SOCI 836 - Application of Criminological Theory and Empirical Tests

SOCI 667 - When topic is crime or deviance

#### **Group B: Criminal Justice and Legal Systems**

SOCI 655 - Law and Society

SOCI 637 – Law and Society in Historical Perspective

SOCI 658 – Social Science, Law, and the Legal Process

SOCI 837 - Criminology and Systems of Criminal Justice

SOCI 667 – When topic is law or justice system

#### B. Master's Thesis

The thesis will be in the form of a scholarly journal article. With advice of the thesis committee each student will select a journal most appropriate to his/her area of interest, and write a paper of the type normally considered by that journal.

Each thesis will adhere to a particular journal's page limits, bibliographic format, manner of data presentation, etc. Where appropriate, students are encouraged to develop their thesis from research conducted for their course work, or from faculty members' data bases. [Adopted April 12, 1996].

Candidates without a thesis committee may not accumulate more than three credits

in SOCI 869.

#### 1. Thesis Proposal Procedures

It is the responsibility of the student to form an M.A. thesis committee consisting of a Chairperson who is a member of the faculty of the department of Sociology and Criminal Justice and two additional members (one of whom may be from an outside department).

Upon obtaining the written consent of all potential members, the student notifies the Graduate Policy Committee and the Director of Graduate Studies by memo of the composition of the Thesis Committee.

The M.A. proposal defense is optional; the process for an M.A. proposal defense follows that of the Ph.D. proposal defense (below). Upon subsequent approval of the M.A. Thesis Proposal by the committee students provide one copy for their file (approved and signed by all members of the committee). The chair of the Thesis Committee shall notify the Graduate Policy Committee and the Director of Graduate Studies and all members of the faculty by memo of the existence of the signed proposal as well as the proposed thesis title.

#### 2. Oral Defense of the Master's Thesis

All requirements for the Master's degree must be completed prior to defending the

The oral examination is administered by the Thesis Committee. The thesis Chairperson shall be responsible for notifying the faculty ten (10) days prior to the scheduled examination and to see that a copy of the thesis is on file in the departmental office 10 days prior to the scheduled defense.

Any faculty member of the department may attend and examine if desired, but the right of voting is reserved to members of the thesis committee.

A majority vote of the committee is required for any action. The thesis and oral defense will be evaluated as a combined effort. There are two possible outcomes: Pass and Fail. In the case of failure, the oral defense may be repeated within one semester of the first attempt.

It is the responsibility of the Chairperson of the Thesis Committee to notify the Director of Graduate Studies in writing of the outcome.

Successful candidates need to provide copies of the completed thesis to the Office of Graduate and Professional Education, and one copy for the departmental archives and one copy for the chair of the committee. Students should consult with the Office of Graduate and Professional Education regarding regulation for

graduate theses.

#### C. Examination Option

Candidates for the Master's degree must take the Master's examination prior to completion of their fourth semester in the program. Students considering the examination option must notify the Director of Graduate Studies to express the intent to take the examination the semester prior to taking the exam.

Examinations are written, and a maximum of three hours per exam is allowed. Successful completion of the Master's examination requires passing the exam in two areas. Upon completion of the written examinations, an oral examination may be required at the option of the Area Examination Committee.

Candidates for the master's degree are examined in:

- I. Theory or methods,
- II. One additional area from the standing areas of specialization offered in the department.

The examination in theory will cover the course content of SOCI 612 and a reading list provided by the area committee. The examination in methods will cover the course content of SOCI 605, SOCI 614 or Method equivalents and a reading list provided by the area committee. Standing area committees are responsible for providing reading lists and constructing and evaluating examinations. Reading lists are to be provided at least one semester prior to the scheduled examinations.

If the candidate wishes to be examined in a specialized area for which there is no standing committee, the student must obtain three faculty members willing to serve as examiners in that area by providing reading lists and constructing and evaluating exams.

The Graduate Policy Committee must review and approve the petition of the student and inform the Director of Graduate Studies and the chairperson of the department and the committee of the decision.

Grading - Possible outcomes are: Pass and Fail. It is the responsibility of the Chairpersons of the examinations committees to notify the Director of Graduate Studies in writing of the action taken by the examination committee. Within one week of notification of the grade on the master's examination, students will receive a detailed evaluation in writing from the chair of the examination committees.

TOP

#### VIII. REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DOCTORAL DEGREE

A. Admission to the Doctoral Program for students with an M.A. in

## Sociology or Criminology from the University of Delaware.

After successfully defending an M.A. thesis or passing an M.A. examination, the student submits a dossier to the GPC. The dossier includes:

- 1. A vita from the applicant describing: past academic achievement and activities (including TA, RA, or fellowship awards),
- 2. A letter detailing the reasons for obtaining the Ph.D. in Sociology or Criminology; and an outline of the intended course of study (including both substance of course work as well as an expected schedule for completion of course work, exams, proposals and dissertation defense).
- 3. Three letters of recommendation, one of which shall be a letter from the Master's thesis advisor evaluating past graduate performance and future potential for doctoral work.

This dossier should be submitted by the end of the semester in which the student completes the M.A. degree. If this occurs during the summer, or during the beginning of the semester in which admission to the Ph.D. program is being requested, the GPC will consider the request as soon as possible during the fall semester. The student may register for Ph.D. courses while awaiting a decision on acceptance into the program.

Normally, the minimum GPA in all graduate course work should be a 3.5 but the Graduate Policy Committee may evaluate the GPA in light of other criteria such as course difficulty. Passage of the Master's thesis is also required.

The application must be approved by the GPC.

**B.** Course Requirements Regulations of the Office of Graduate and Professional Education require one continuous academic year of full-time residency for the Ph.D.

#### Ph.D. in Sociology

Students must complete a minimum of 46 course credit hours (not including thesis credits), plus 9 dissertation credits. Independent studies cannot be used to count for more than 6 of these 46 required course credits. Students are encouraged to: take a broad array of courses, go beyond this minimum credit total in order to pursue additional learning opportunities, and consider courses outside the department. The following specific courses are required:

To permit maximum flexibility for developing an individualized Ph.D. program, there are no minimum course hour requirements. However, the following specific

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

#### courses are required:

SOCI 605 - Data Collection and Analysis

SOCI 614 - Data Analysis

SOCI 606 - Qualitative Methodology

SOCI 612 - Development of Sociological Theory

SOCI 813 - Current Issues in Social Theory

SOCI 698 - Teaching Social Science (1 credit)

One course from the following:

SOCI 625 – Advanced Social Statistics (Highly Recommended) OR

PSYC 867878 – Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR

OR SOCI 676 Advanced Qualitative Methodology OR

OR-(another course approved by the department methods comprehensive exam committee)

4 elective courses (12 credits excluding independent studies)

Comprehensive Exams in two one area and Qualifying Paper in one areas

SOCI 969 - Dissertation (9 credits)

#### Ph.D. in Criminology

Students must complete a minimum of 46 course credit hours (not including thesis credits), plus 9 dissertation credits. Independent studies cannot be used to count for more than 6 of these 46 required course credits. Students are encouraged to: take a broad array of courses, go beyond this minimum credit total in order to pursue additional learning opportunities, and consider courses outside the department. The following specific courses are required: To permit maximum flexibility for developing an individualized Ph.D. program, there are no minimum course hour requirements. However, the following specific courses are required:

SOCI 605 - Data Collection and Analysis

SOCI 614 - Data Analysis

SOCI 606 - Qualitative Methodology

SOCI 612 - Development of Sociological Theory

SOCI 835 - Theoretical Criminology I

SOCI 836 - Application of Criminological Theory and Empirical Tests

SOCI 698- Teaching Social Science (1 credit)

One course from the following:

SOCI 625 - Advanced Social Statistics (Highly Recommended) OR

PSYC 867878 – Hierarchical Linear Modeling OR

OR-SOCI 676 Advanced Qualitative Methodology OR OR-(another course approved by the department methods comprehensive exam committee)

4 elective courses (excluding independent studies) from the courses listed in Parts A and B of the M.A.; HIGHLY RECOMMENDED: SOCI 837 - Criminology and Systems of Criminal Justice

Comprehensive exam in Criminology and one additional area, except Social Deviance

SOCI 969 - Dissertation (9 credits)

#### C. Ph.D. Comprehensive Exams

Candidates for the doctoral degree must be certified in two specialized areas. The department currently offers specialization in the following areas: Disasters and the Environment, Criminology, Deviance, Gender, Law and Society, Methodology/Statistics, Race, and Theory. Students in Criminology may not select deviance as their second area.

Doctoral students in our department must pass a comprehensive examination in one area and write a qualifying paper with a passing grade in the other area. Students are required to take at least one of their certified areas from the standing areas offered by the department. If the candidate wishes to be certified in a specialized area for which there is no standing area committee, she or he may petition to do so after securing the agreement of three (3) faculty members willing to serve as examiners in that area by providing reading lists, constructing and evaluating examinations and other certification requirements. The Graduate Policy Committee must review and approve the petition of the student and inform the Director of Graduate Studies and the Chairperson of the department of its decision.

# 1. Administration of Comprehensive Exams and Papers

A given area exam, e.g., deviance, will be offered once per semester, on a date to be determined by the Director of Graduate Studies in consultation with the area committee chairs. Comprehensive examinations will be administered to students in a common room with a maximum 6-hour time allotment and proctored by a faculty

## member.

#### 2. Area Committees

Area committees are responsible for preparing reading lists, overseeing the respective curriculum in this area, and providing students with written guidelines to aid them in the selection of courses and preparation for examinations. Area committees are responsible for designing the specific certification requirements for their particular area, preparing examination questions, and reading and evaluating exams.

Published guidelines and reading lists are reviewed and revised as necessary, usually on a regular cycle of every two (2) years; reading lists are to trend toward equality in length across comprehensive exam areas and committees should make them available on the department website and in the sociology office to students at the beginning of the academic year. The GPC will oversee these requirements.

Members of area committees and their chairs are appointed by the Chair of the Department. Committees are composed of at least three (3) members of the faculty, but committees may consult other members of the faculty with competence in the area during the preparation of exams and reading lists.

Chairs of the committees are responsible for notifying students of their exam results within three (3) weeks (see #6 below for more details). Committee Chairs are also required to notify the Director of Graduate Studies and the GPC of the results of examinations.

#### 3. Scope of the Examinations

Minimal preparation for written examinations includes mastery of material on the reading lists provided by area committees. However, reading lists are merely guidelines and should not be considered as the sole basis for examinations. Committees are responsible for clarification of the goals of reading lists, with specific attention to the question of whether such lists represent "minimal" or "exhaustive" definitions of the core literature. For each area of certification the candidate is expected to be: up-to-date with the literature in the field at the time of the exam, able to discuss the most important controversies, issues and problems (in both theory and methodology) that exist in the field, and capable of evaluating existing theory and methodology and suggesting new direction of effort.

Course Requirements. No area comprehensive exam shall require more than one course beyond the requirements of the PhD degree. The area committee can, however, recommend additional courses. Recommended courses are those the committee advises, but does not require, students to take.

#### 4. Qualifying Paper

In addition to the written comprehensive exam in one area, students must write a qualifying paper in the other area. The same stipulations for course requirements as the area exam are required.

This paper should be of "publishable quality" (though it need not be submitted for publication) and should focus on specific areas in the literature, foundational pieces on the topic, and conclude with more specificity and application of the material relevant to the student's interests within the broad area of study. It should not be a dissertation proposal; rather, its intention is to evaluate students' critical thinking/analytic skills. A good model is found in the articles published in the journal *Annual Review of Sociology*. The paper must reflect the student's own work and not work done in collaboration with co-authors or faculty mentors. After the student turns in the qualifying paper, the area committee with meet with the student to conduct an oral defense. Grading for the qualifying paper and dissemination of results will be the same as those stated for the comprehensive exam.

Papers will be due during the final week of classes of the semester, unless the student and exam committee agree on an extension (in which case a new due date will be set by the committee). Exam committees will provide guidance and feedback to students as they work on the paper; students must request feedback from the committee chair, who will in turn seek input from other faculty members.

#### 5. Pre-requisites and Schedule of Examinations

Students are required to declare their intent to take a comprehensive examination or write the qualifying paper, in writing, within the first two weeks of the previous semester to the Director of Graduate Studies who will notify the relevant Area Committee. The Committee will schedule an interview with the student to explore his or her level of preparedness. Students are expected to provide Committee members with written documentation of their preparedness in that area prior to the interview (e.g. courses taken, etc.). IMPORTANT: All Ph.D. course requirements,

including any outstanding incomplete grades, must be completed before students are eligible to take Ph.D. examinations.

Students are encouraged to complete both the exam and qualifying paper during the two semesters immediately after they complete course requirements.

In the case of a failing grade, the student must retake the exam or rewrite the paper in the following semester.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

# 6. Grading

The outcome of examinations and qualifying papers is determined by majority vote. There are four possible outcomes: Pass with distinction, Pass, Conditional outcome, Fail.

Conditional Outcomes: Students receiving this grade are required to complete additional work within two months of formal notification of the committee's decision. If the committee is satisfied with the quality of the work, the student will receive a grade of Pass. If the committee is not satisfied with the quality of the work, the student will receive a grade of Fail.

Students earning "conditional" outcomes have the option, at the committee's approval, to respond 1) orally or 2) by the traditional written form as indicated in the current policy. The student, in consultation with the area comprehensive exam chair and his/her mentor(s) will have two weeks to make the decision and notify the area chair and the Director of Graduate Studies.

Within one week of formal notification of the grade, students will receive a detailed evaluation in writing from the chair of the area committee.

Students who fail a written exam or qualifying paper, or any part thereof, may request a re-reading from the original area examination committee. This request is to be submitted within 20 days of the student receiving the detailed written evaluation.

#### 7. Repeats of Examinations

Only one repeat for each area is permitted. Failure on a repeat examination or paper is a permanent failure for that area. Three failures of Ph.D. comprehensive

examinations or qualifying papers in any combination shall result in dismissal from the program.

#### D. ADMISSION TO CANDIDACY

Formal Ph.D. candidacy follows the approval of the dissertation proposal. Procedures for admission to Ph.D. candidacy are as specified by the Office of Graduate and Professional Education. Admission to candidacy must be obtained before the deadlines specified in the academic calendar. Responsibility for seeing that admission is secured at the proper time rests with the student, but must include the recommendation of the student's dissertation committee and the chairperson of the department. Students must complete and submit the required form.

#### E. DOCTORAL DISSERTATIONS

#### 1. Dissertation Committees

It is the responsibility of the student to form a four-person Ph.D. dissertation committee, consisting of a chairperson, who must be a member of the department, and three additional members, two from within the department and one from an outside department. Faculty on joint appointments in the Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice are considered to be inside members of the department for the purposes of dissertation committees.

After obtaining the written agreement of all members of the committee, the student must notify the Director of Graduate Studies. Subsequent changes in the composition of the dissertation committee also require the approval of the Graduate Policy Committee. Overlap in membership between the Graduate Policy Committee and the dissertation committee does not require those involved to disqualify themselves.

Candidates without an approved dissertation committee may not enroll for more than three credits of dissertation research (SOCI 969).

#### 2. Dissertation Proposals

Dissertation proposals should be worked out with the advice of the dissertation committee. It is strongly suggested that the committee meets with the student to discuss the proposal. The student makes a copy of the proposal available to the departmental faculty at least 10 business days in advance of the scheduled dissertation proposal defense date.

The Committee Chair communicates final approval of the proposal to the faculty and the Director of Graduate Studies. A signed copy of the proposal is placed in the

student's permanent file.

The student is responsible for initiating the paperwork necessary for admission to formal candidacy by the University Coordinator of Graduate Studies. (Please consult the graduate catalog for specific time schedules).

#### 3. The Ph.D. Dissertation

Students are expected to prepare copies of the dissertation for the departmental archives, the chair of the committee, and those required by the Office of Graduate and Professional Education.

The bibliographic format and style of the dissertation must conform to the standards of the Office of Graduate and Professional Education.

#### 4. Oral Defense of Dissertation

An oral defense of the dissertation is required. It is administered by the dissertation committee. The <u>dissertation Chairpersonstudent</u> is responsible for notifying the faculty 10 days prior to the scheduled examination and to see that a copy of the dissertation is on file in the department office 10 days prior to the scheduled defense. The oral defense is open to the public, though the right of voting is reserved to the dissertation committee.

All other requirements for the degree must be completed before the oral defense of dissertation can be scheduled.

Grading is limited to Pass or Fail, and a majority vote is required for any action. It is the responsibility of the chairperson of the dissertation committee to notify the Director of Graduate Studies of the decision of the dissertation committee.

#### TOP

#### IX. INSTRUCTIONAL EDUCATION FOR TEACHING ASSISTANTS

The majority of graduate students in Sociology and Criminology are pursuing Ph.D.'s in preparation for a career in higher education. Therefore, we are committed to providing our students with a broad and systematic set of instructional experiences as an integral part of their graduate education.

This will normally include the following elements:

#### A. CTE Conference:

All incoming students holding a teaching assistantship are required to participate in the Annual Conference for Graduate Teaching Assistant sponsored by the Center for Teaching Effectiveness.

#### **B.** Mentoring Experiences:

All faculty have accumulated a storehouse of knowledge and skills that they can and should share with students as part of an "every faculty as mentor" approach to the teaching enterprise. Therefore, faculty supervising TAs are expected to offer students meaningful introductory instructional experiences commensurate with students' experience and ability. Included among the suggested activities are preparing exams, handling review sessions, developing a lecture or a seminar session. We envision a process of planning, supervision and feedback for each of these activities.

#### C. Instruction in Teaching Techniques:

All Ph.D. students are required to enroll in a one-semester, one-credit Pass-Fail course in teaching techniques (SOCI 698: Teaching Social Science). It will focus on some of the central elements in course preparation and instruction, vis., instructional philosophy, textbook evaluation, selection of reading assignments, syllabus preparation, managing large and small classes, developing lecture outlines and student evaluation techniques.

#### D. Individual Courses:

Students having participated in the courses on teaching and acted as a seminar leader will earn the privilege of teaching their own sections. Students have the responsibility of enlisting the cooperation of a faculty mentor of their choice to provide advice and assist in assessing their effectiveness.

**TOP** 

## X. ADDENDUM

#### A. Independent Studies

Independent study courses are not required. Rather, they are designed to provide students with the opportunity to take a course in an area or on a topic that is not offered by the Department but which is crucial to their area(s) of specialization.

#### B. Pre-candidacy Credits

Pre-candidacy credits are taken in preparation for the dissertation. They are taken after all required coursework has been completed but when students have not yet

passed their comprehensive examinations and have not yet had their proposal approved. Students may enroll for anywhere from 3 to 12 credits. Pre-candidacy credits are not required. According to the Office of Graduate and Professional Education, pre-candidacy credits no longer count toward the degree after the completion of 12. If the student registered in Pre-Candidacy is admitted to candidacy before the end of the free drop/add period of the next semester, the registration in Pre-Candidacy Study (964) for the preceding semester may be changed to Doctoral dissertation (SOC 969). Once a student has passed his or her comprehensive examinations and has an approved proposal on file, Pre-Candidacy credits can be used as Doctoral Dissertation credits as described in the previous sentence. In order to do so, the student must contact the Assistant Provost in the Office of Graduate and Professional Education. While these are not independent studies, a student must enroll using a faculty member's supervised study number. It is typical that a student will enroll with the faculty member who will be chairing his or her dissertation.

#### C. Dissertation Credits

Once a student has passed his or her comprehensive examinations and has an approved proposal on file, he or she enrolls for 9 dissertation credits. While these are not independent studies, a student must enroll using a faculty member's supervised study number with the faculty member who is chairing their dissertation. A student may enroll for all nine credits in one semester. A student who wishes to enroll in 6 one semester and 3 in another must augment those 3 dissertation credits with 3 more credits from another source including: A course, an independent study, or 3 additional dissertation credits in order to maintain full-time status.

#### D. Doctoral Sustaining

Doctoral sustaining is for students who are ABD. They will have passed their comprehensive examinations, have an approved proposal on file, and have all 9 dissertation credits completed. Enrolling for doctoral sustaining implies that a student is working full-time on his or her dissertation and confers full-time status on that student.

#### E. Forms

Below is a list of the forms that students must complete as they progress through the program.

Copies of the forms are available in the Sociology office and online. **Important:** Students are responsible for the submission of all forms. Dates and deadlines can be found on the Office of Graduate and Professional Education homepage (udel.edu.gradoffice) under "UD STUDENTS."

- 1. "Application for Advanced Degree" form. Submitted in the semester you plan to graduate. Students completing the M.A. degree who intend to continue in the Ph.D. program must fill out and attach the "Change of Status" form. (To graduate as M.A. and as Ph.D.)
- 2. "Supervisory Committee Notification" form. Submitted to Department when members of the M.A. thesis committee of Ph.D. dissertation committee have been formally selected and agreed to serve.
- 3. "Confirmation of Dissertation Committee" form. Submitted to the Office of Graduate and Professional Education after successful completion of the comprehensive examinations when members of the defense committee have been formally selected and agreed to serve.
- 4. "Recommendation for Candidacy for Doctoral Degree" form. Submitted after dissertation proposal has been approved.
- 5. "Defense Notification" form. Submitted prior to a defense.
- 6. "Ph.D. Defense Certification" form. Submitted after the defense.

## **TOP**

#### F. Resources

Several resources are available for students who seek help with academic and personal needs, including:

- Center for Counseling and Student Development
- Office of Disability Support Services
- LGBT Community Office
- Center for Black Culture
- Office of Equity and Inclusion
- University Writing Center
- Center for Teaching and Learning
- Research Office
- Office of Professional and Graduate Education

Students should also be aware of University policies on behavior, including academic honesty, which can be found in the student guide to university policies. Note that graduate students who supervise undergraduates through TA or RA responsibilities may also be held to standards of the faculty handbook.

# Program Policy Statement Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice

October 2013

# I. Program History

A. Statement of Purpose and expectation of graduate study in the program

The Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice offers a Master of Arts and a
Doctor of Philosophy degree program in both Sociology and Criminology. The
primary focus of the program is the preparation of members of the next generation
of sociologists and criminologists by emphasizing systematic training in theory
and research methodology as well as teaching. These advanced education degrees
are intended for persons interested in careers in academia, public service, or
private enterprise. The Department has a large number of full-time distinguished
faculty from the disciplines of Sociology, Philosophy, Law, Political Science,
Criminology, History and Criminal Justice. This allows students to work closely
with faculty members while preserving a reasonable breadth of interests. Thus,
while both the Sociology and Criminology degrees rely on strong theoretical and
methodological foundations, they also allow students to tailor a program that
meets their individual needs.

## B. Date of Permanent Status

The first M.A. degree was earned in our program in 1940. The Ph.D. degree in Sociology was created in 1969, and the Ph.D. degree in Criminology in 1986.

C. Degrees Offered

We offer four graduate degrees: M.A. in Criminology, M.A. in Sociology, Ph.D. in Criminology, and Ph.D. in Sociology.

#### II. Admission

## A. Admission Requirements

All admission and funding decisions are made by the Graduate Policy Committee (GPC). Applicants are evaluated on several criteria: GRE scores, undergraduate/graduate grade point average, letters of recommendation (three), and applicant's statement of objectives. Applicants are also encouraged to submit a short writing sample. The GPC may also consider a limited number of other factors, including challenging social, economic, educational, cultural or other life circumstances, quality of undergraduate program, undergraduate major, relevant work/field/research experience, publications and reports, presentations, or other work demonstrating the ability to do graduate study in the field. International students must submit TOEFL or IELTS scores.

## B. Prior degree requirements

Applicants to either M.A. program must have earned a B.A. or B.S. Applicants to either Ph.D. program must have earned an M.A.

C. Application deadline

Completed applications are due February 1. We offer Fall admission only.

D. Special competencies needed

None

E. Admission categories

There are no admission categories other than regular, full-time admission. Students may be told they are on a waiting list, but this would come without any promise of admission or funding.

- F. Other documents required None
- G. Admission to the graduate program is selective and competitive based on the number of well-qualified applicants and the limits of available faculty and facilities. Those who meet minimum academic requirements are not guaranteed admission or funding, nor are those who fail to meet those requirements necessarily precluded from admission if they offer other appropriate strengths.

## III. Academic

Academic Requirements for M.A. in Sociology

- A. Degree Requirements
  - 1. Required Courses
    - There are 30 credit hours required, consisting of the following
    - SOCI605 Data Collection
    - SOCI612 Development of Sociological Theory
    - One of the following:
      - o SOCI606 Qualitative Methodology
      - o SOCI614 Advanced Data Analysis
      - o PSYC878 Hierarchical Linear Modeling
    - 5 elective courses from at least two different substantive areas to assure breadth in substantive areas of sociology. Substantive areas include: Race, Gender, Disasters and the Environment, Criminology, Law and Society, Deviance, Theory, and Research Methods.
    - SOCI869 M.A. Thesis (6 credits)
    - If pursuing a M.A. with a Master's Examination Option instead, students must register for SOCI621 (Deviance) and 6 elective courses (rather than 5), but do not take SOCI869.
  - 2. Non-registered Requirements
    - At least 21 of the 30 required credits must be taken within the Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice.
    - There are two options for the M.A. degree:
      - a. M.A. Thesis: The thesis will be in the form of a scholarly journal article. With advice of the thesis committee each student will select a journal most appropriate to his/her area of interest, and write a paper of the type normally considered by that journal. Each thesis will adhere to a particular journal's page limits, bibliographic format, manner of data presentation, etc. Where appropriate, students are encouraged to develop their thesis from research conducted for their course work, or from faculty members' data bases.
      - b. M.A. Exam: Candidates for the Master's degree must take the Master's examination prior to completion of their fourth semester in the program. Students considering the examination option must

notify the Director of Graduate Studies to express the intent to take the examination the semester prior to taking the exam. Examinations are written, and a maximum of three hours per exam is allowed. Successful completion of the Master's examination requires passing the exam in two areas. Upon completion of the written examinations, an oral examination may be required at the option of the Area Examination Committee. Candidates for the master's degree are examined in: 1) Theory or methods, and 2) One additional area from the standing areas of specialization offered in the department. The examination in theory will cover the course content of SOCI 612 and a reading list provided by the area committee. The examination in methods will cover the course content of SOCI 605, SOCI 614 or Method equivalents and a reading list provided by the area committee. Standing area committees are responsible for providing reading lists and constructing and evaluating examinations. Reading lists are to be provided at least one semester prior to the scheduled examinations. If the candidate wishes to be examined in a specialized area for which there is no standing committee, the student must obtain three faculty members willing to serve as examiners in that area by providing reading lists and constructing and evaluating exams. The Graduate Policy Committee must review and approve the petition of the student and inform the Director of Graduate Studies and the chairperson of the department and the committee of the decision. Possible grade outcomes are: Pass and Fail. It is the responsibility of the Chairpersons of the examinations committees to notify the Director of Graduate Studies in writing of the action taken by the examination committee. Within one week of notification of the grade on the master's examination, students will receive a detailed evaluation in writing from the chair of the examination committees.

- 3. Procedure for petitions for variance in degree requirements

  The interpretation of these policies and procedures is the responsibility of the
  Graduate Policy Committee. Petitions for waivers of any requirements are the
  responsibility of, and must have the approval of, the Graduate Policy
  Committee. Students may appeal decisions of the GPC following this
  procedure:
  - Petition the GPC for reconsideration by adding additional information that the applicant feels may be significant.
  - In the event the GPC does not change its decision, students may petition the full faculty. A 3/4 vote is required to overrule the GPC.

Students who allege they have been aggrieved because of perceived discrimination on the basis of race, sex, sexual orientation, handicap or because a member of the University community fails to follow published University or Departmental procedure should utilize the Student Grievance Procedure stipulated by University policy.

- 4. There are no grad minimums that deviate from University policy.
- 5. There are no courses that may not be used towards the degree.
- 6. There are no expectations of facility of expression in English.

## B. Committees

1. Initial procedure for advising

Upon entry to the graduate program, students are matched with a faculty advisor with shared research interests. Students are then free to replace this advisor at any time.

Advisement occurs throughout the year through colloquia, workshops, and informal meetings. First-year graduate students are required to attend a proseminar, the goal of which is initial advising.

Each spring every student's progress is assessed by the Graduate Policy Committee. For this assessment they submit a self-report of progress during the year and a faculty member submits a letter describing the student's progress.

2. Student committees needed

Students must have a committee to complete the M.A. thesis. It is the responsibility of the student to form an M.A. thesis committee consisting of a Chairperson who is a member of the faculty of the department of Sociology and Criminal Justice and two additional members (one of whom may be from an outside department). Upon obtaining the written consent of all potential members, the student notifies the Graduate Policy Committee and the Director of Graduate Studies by memo of the composition of the Thesis Committee.

- 3. Deadlines for comprehensive exams

  This is not applicable M.A. students do not take comprehensive exams.
- 4. Dates and grading of comprehensive exams

  This is not applicable M.A. students do not take comprehensive exams.
- 5. Human subjects approval
  There are no guidelines for research with human subjects beyond those of the
  University's Human Subjects Review Board.
- 6. Procedures for thesis approval
- 7. All requirements for the Master's degree must be completed prior to defending the thesis. The oral examination is administered by the Thesis Committee. The thesis Chairperson shall be responsible for notifying the faculty ten (10) days prior to the scheduled examination and to see that a copy of the thesis is on file in the departmental office 10 days prior to the scheduled defense. Any faculty member of the department may attend and examine if desired, but the right of voting is reserved to members of the thesis committee. A majority vote of the committee is required for any action. The thesis and oral defense will be evaluated as a combined effort. There are two possible outcomes: Pass and Fail. In the case of failure, the oral defense may be repeated within one semester of the first attempt. It is the responsibility of the Chairperson of the Thesis Committee to notify the Director of Graduate Studies in writing of the outcome. Successful candidates need to provide copies of the completed thesis to the Office of Graduate and Professional Education, and one copy for the departmental archives and one copy for the

chair of the committee. Students should consult with the Office of Graduate and Professional Education regarding regulation for graduate theses.

- 8. Obligations for finding committee members It is the responsibility of the student to form an M.A. thesis committee.
- 9. Obligations for changes in committee members It is the responsibility of the student to replace an M.A. thesis committee if necessary.
- C. Timetable and definition of satisfactory progress towards the degree
  - 1. Academic load expectations

Students holding teaching or research assistantships involving specific responsibilities are required to register for a minimum of 6 graduate credits per semester. Please note, however, that in order to make normal progress through the program, it is typically necessary to take more than 6 credits per semester. Students holding a fellowship or scholarship with no work assignment are required to register for at least 9 credits of graduate level courses per semester.

Full time status is defined as 9 hours for unfunded students. Students are expected to make continuing progress toward the completion of their graduate education. In order to assess their progress and professional development, each graduate student is required to submit a self-evaluation to the Director of Graduate Studies by April 15. The self-evaluation should cover the student's activities involving completion of required course work, area examinations, thesis or dissertation progress, professional engagement (publications, conference presentations, involvement in external funding activities, and participation in research projects other than the thesis or dissertation), teaching, and other relevant items. In addition, a letter from one faculty member of the student's choice should be submitted. Under ordinary circumstances we define "normal progress" as the following: full-time students entering the master's program are expected to complete their master's degree by the end of their second year in the program.

- 2. Grade requirements
  - Students must maintain a 3.0 GPA to maintain good standing. There are no grade requirements for specific courses.
- 3. Thesis progress timetable
  Students are expected to defend a completed thesis by the end of their second
  year. Appropriate timing for completing and (if a defense is selected)
  defending a thesis proposal varies and will be determined by the student and
  advisor.
- 4. Thesis defense guidelines

The M.A. proposal defense is optional; the process for an M.A. proposal defense follows that of the Ph.D. proposal defense (below). Upon subsequent approval of the M.A. Thesis Proposal by the committee students provide one copy for their file (approved and signed by all members of the committee). The chair of the Thesis Committee shall notify the Graduate Policy Committee and the Director of Graduate Studies and all members of the

faculty by memo of the existence of the signed proposal as well as the proposed thesis title.

- 5. Forms required
  - "Application for Advanced Degree" form. Submitted in the semester a student plans to graduate.
  - Students completing the M.A. degree who intend to continue in the Ph.D. program must fill out and attach the "Change of Status" form. (To graduate as M.A. and as Ph.D.)
  - "Supervisory Committee Notification" form. Submitted to Department when members of the M.A. thesis committee of Ph.D. dissertation committee have been formally selected and agreed to serve.
  - "Defense Notification" form. Submitted prior to a defense.
- 6. Identify consequences for failure to make satisfactory progress
  Consequences for students who fail to make satisfactory progress will be
  considered on a case-by-case basis by the Graduate Policy Committee.
  Typically, necessary supports will be identified during the Graduate Policy
  Committee's annual review and offered to students. Students may be
  considered for termination from funding or from the program after
  consultation with the Assistant Provost for Graduate and Professional
  Education.
- 7. Protocol for grievance procedure if a student has been recommended for termination for failure to make satisfactory progress.

  The decision to terminate for failure to make satisfactory progress is made by the Director of Graduate Studies and the GPC. All appeals of a recommendation for termination are determined by the Department Chair. If a student still has concerns regarding termination from the program, s/he can appeal to the Department Policy Committee (DPC). Any members of the DPC who participated in the initial decision (the Director of Graduate Studies and any members of the GPC) would be recused from this appeals process.

# Academic Requirements for M.A. in Criminology

- A. Degree Requirements
  - 1. Required Courses
    - There are 30 credit hours required, consisting of the following
    - SOCI605 Data Collection
    - SOCI612 Development of Sociological Theory
    - SOCI835 Theoretical Criminology I
    - One of the following:
      - o SOCI606 Qualitative Methodology
      - o SOCI614 Advanced Data Analysis
      - o SOCI625 Advanced Social Statistics
      - o PSYC878 Hierarchical Linear Modeling
    - 4 elective courses, with at least one course identified as pertaining to "criminal and deviant behavior" and one pertaining to "criminal justice and legal system" (see graduate program handbook for lists of courses in each group).

- SOCI869 M.A. Thesis (6 credits)
- If pursuing a M.A. with a Master's Examination Option instead of an M.A. Thesis, students must take 6 elective courses (rather than 4), but do not take SOCI869.
- 2. Non-registered Requirements
  - At least 21 of the 30 required credits must be taken within the Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice.
  - There are two options for the M.A. degree:
    - c. M.A. Thesis: The thesis will be in the form of a scholarly journal article. With advice of the thesis committee each student will select a journal most appropriate to his/her area of interest, and write a paper of the type normally considered by that journal. Each thesis will adhere to a particular journal's page limits, bibliographic format, manner of data presentation, etc. Where appropriate, students are encouraged to develop their thesis from research conducted for their course work, or from faculty members' data bases.
    - d. M.A. Exam: Candidates for the Master's degree must take the Master's examination prior to completion of their fourth semester in the program. Students considering the examination option must notify the Director of Graduate Studies to express the intent to take the examination the semester prior to taking the exam. Examinations are written, and a maximum of three hours per exam is allowed. Successful completion of the Master's examination requires passing the exam in two areas. Upon completion of the written examinations, an oral examination may be required at the option of the Area Examination Committee. Candidates for the master's degree are examined in: 1) Theory or methods, and 2) One additional area from the standing areas of specialization offered in the department. The examination in theory will cover the course content of SOCI 612 and a reading list provided by the area committee. The examination in methods will cover the course content of SOCI 605, SOCI 614 or Method equivalents and a reading list provided by the area committee. Standing area committees are responsible for providing reading lists and constructing and evaluating examinations. Reading lists are to be provided at least one semester prior to the scheduled examinations. If the candidate wishes to be examined in a specialized area for which there is no standing committee, the student must obtain three faculty members willing to serve as examiners in that area by providing reading lists and constructing and evaluating exams. The Graduate Policy Committee must review and approve the petition of the student and inform the Director of Graduate Studies and the chairperson of the department and the committee of the decision. Possible grade outcomes are: Pass and Fail. It is the responsibility of the Chairpersons of the examinations committees to notify the

Director of Graduate Studies in writing of the action taken by the examination committee. Within one week of notification of the grade on the master's examination, students will receive a detailed evaluation in writing from the chair of the examination committees.

- 3. Procedure for petitions for variance in degree requirements

  The interpretation of these policies and procedures is the responsibility of the
  Graduate Policy Committee. Petitions for waivers of any requirements are the
  responsibility of, and must have the approval of, the Graduate Policy
  Committee. Students may appeal decisions of the GPC following this
  procedure:
  - Petition the GPC for reconsideration by adding additional information that the applicant feels may be significant.
  - In the event the GPC does not change its decision, students may petition the full faculty. A 3/4 vote is required to overrule the GPC.

Students who allege they have been aggrieved because of perceived discrimination on the basis of race, sex, sexual orientation, handicap or because a member of the University community fails to follow published University or Departmental procedure should utilize the Student Grievance Procedure stipulated by University policy.

- 4. There are no grad minimums that deviate from University policy.
- 5. There are no courses that may not be used towards the degree.
- 6. There are no expectations of facility of expression in English.

#### B. Committees

1. Initial procedure for advising

Upon entry to the graduate program, students are matched with a faculty advisor with shared research interests. Students are then free to replace this advisor at any time.

Advisement occurs throughout the year through colloquia, workshops, and informal meetings. First-year graduate students are required to attend a proseminar, the goal of which is initial advising.

Each spring every student's progress is assessed by the Graduate Policy Committee. For this assessment they submit a self-report of progress during the year and a faculty member submits a letter describing the student's progress.

2. Student committees needed

Students must have a committee to complete the M.A. thesis. It is the responsibility of the student to form an M.A. thesis committee consisting of a Chairperson who is a member of the faculty of the department of Sociology and Criminal Justice and two additional members (one of whom may be from an outside department). Upon obtaining the written consent of all potential members, the student notifies the Graduate Policy Committee and the Director of Graduate Studies by memo of the composition of the Thesis Committee.

- 3. Deadlines for comprehensive exams

  This is not applicable M.A. students do not take comprehensive exams.
- 4. Dates and grading of comprehensive exams

This is not applicable - M.A. students do not take comprehensive exams.

5. Human subjects approval

There are no guidelines for research with human subjects beyond those of the University's Human Subjects Review Board.

6. Procedures for thesis approval

All requirements for the Master's degree must be completed prior to defending the thesis. The oral examination is administered by the Thesis Committee. The thesis Chairperson shall be responsible for notifying the faculty ten (10) days prior to the scheduled examination and to see that a copy of the thesis is on file in the departmental office 10 days prior to the scheduled defense. Any faculty member of the department may attend and examine if desired, but the right of voting is reserved to members of the thesis committee. A majority vote of the committee is required for any action. The thesis and oral defense will be evaluated as a combined effort. There are two possible outcomes: Pass and Fail. In the case of failure, the oral defense may be repeated within one semester of the first attempt. It is the responsibility of the Chairperson of the Thesis Committee to notify the Director of Graduate Studies in writing of the outcome. Successful candidates need to provide copies of the completed thesis to the Office of Graduate and Professional Education, and one copy for the departmental archives and one copy for the chair of the committee. Students should consult with the Office of Graduate and Professional Education regarding regulation for graduate theses.

- 7. Obligations for finding committee members It is the responsibility of the student to form an M.A. thesis committee.
- 8. Obligations for changes in committee members It is the responsibility of the student to replace an M.A. thesis committee if necessary.
- C. Timetable and definition of satisfactory progress towards the degree
  - 1. Academic load expectations

Students holding teaching or research assistantships involving specific responsibilities are required to register for a minimum of 6 graduate credits per semester. Please note, however, that in order to make normal progress through the program, it is typically necessary to take more than 6 credits per semester. Students holding a fellowship or scholarship with no work assignment are required to register for at least 9 credits of graduate level courses per semester.

Full time status is defined as 9 hours for unfunded students. Students are expected to make continuing progress toward the completion of their graduate education. In order to assess their progress and professional development, each graduate student is required to submit a self-evaluation to the Director of Graduate Studies by April 15. The self-evaluation should cover the student's activities involving completion of required course work, area examinations, thesis or dissertation progress, professional engagement (publications, conference presentations, involvement in external funding activities, and participation in research projects other than the thesis or dissertation), teaching, and other relevant items. In addition, a letter from one

faculty member of the student's choice should be submitted. Under ordinary circumstances we define "normal progress" as the following: full-time students entering the master's program are expected to complete their master's degree by the end of their second year in the program.

2. Grade requirements

Students must maintain a 3.0 GPA to maintain good standing. There are no grade requirements for specific courses.

3. Thesis progress timetable

Students are expected to defend a completed thesis by the end of their second year. Appropriate timing for completing and (if a defense is selected) defending a thesis proposal varies and will be determined by the student and advisor.

4. Thesis defense guidelines

The M.A. proposal defense is optional; the process for an M.A. proposal defense follows that of the Ph.D. proposal defense (below). Upon subsequent approval of the M.A. Thesis Proposal by the committee students provide one copy for their file (approved and signed by all members of the committee). The chair of the Thesis Committee shall notify the Graduate Policy Committee and the Director of Graduate Studies and all members of the faculty by memo of the existence of the signed proposal as well as the proposed thesis title.

- 5. Forms required
  - "Application for Advanced Degree" form. Submitted in the semester a studnet plans to graduate.
  - Students completing the M.A. degree who intend to continue in the Ph.D. program must fill out and attach the "Change of Status" form. (To graduate as M.A. and as Ph.D.)
  - "Supervisory Committee Notification" form. Submitted to Department when members of the M.A. thesis committee of Ph.D. dissertation committee have been formally selected and agreed to serve.
  - "Defense Notification" form. Submitted prior to a defense.
- 6. Identify consequences for failure to make satisfactory progress Consequences for students who fail to make satisfactory progress will be considered on a case-by-case basis by the Graduate Policy Committee. Typically, necessary supports will be identified during the Graduate Policy Committee's annual review and offered to students. Students may be considered for termination from funding or from the program after consultation with the Assistant Provost for Graduate and Professional Education.
- 7. Protocol for grievance procedure if a student has been recommended for termination for failure to make satisfactory progress.

  The decision to terminate for failure to make satisfactory progress is made by the Director of Graduate Studies and the GPC. All appeals of a recommendation for termination are determined by the Department Chair. If a student still has concerns regarding termination from the program, s/he can appeal to the Department Policy Committee (DPC). Any members of the DPC

who participated in the initial decision (the Director of Graduate Studies and any members of the GPC) would be recused from this appeals process.

# Academic Requirements For Ph.D. in Sociology

# A. Degree Requirements

- 1. Required Courses
  - There are 46 course credit hours required (not including thesis/ dissertation credit hours), consisting of the following required courses plus electives – no more than 6 elective credits may be filled by independent study
  - SOCI605 Data Collection
  - SOCI606 Qualitative Methodology
  - SOCI614 Advanced Data Analysis
  - SOCI612 Development of Sociological Theory
  - SOCI813 Current Issues in Social Theory
  - SOCI 698 Teaching Social Science (1 credit)
  - One of the following:
    - o SOCI625 Advanced Social Statistics
    - o PSYC878 Hierarchical Linear Modeling
    - SOCI676 Advanced Qualitative Methodology
  - SOCI969 Dissertation (9 credits)

# 2. Non-registered Requirements

Comprehensive Exams. Candidates for the doctoral degree must be certified in two specialized areas. The department currently offers specialization in the following areas: Disasters and the Environment, Criminology, Deviance, Gender, Law and Society, Methodology/Statistics, Race, and Theory. Students in Criminology may not select deviance as their second area.

Doctoral students must pass a comprehensive examination in one area and write a qualifying paper with a passing grade in the other area. Students are required to take at least one of their certified areas from the standing areas offered by the department. If the candidate wishes to be certified in a specialized area for which there is no standing area committee, she or he may petition to do so after securing the agreement of three (3) faculty members willing to serve as examiners in that area by providing reading lists, constructing and evaluating examinations and other certification requirements. The GPC must review and approve the petition of the student and inform the Director of Graduate Studies and the Chairperson of the department of its decision.

A given area exam, e.g., deviance, will be offered once per semester, on a date to be determined by the Director of Graduate Studies in consultation with the area committee chairs. Comprehensive examinations will be administered to students in a common room with a maximum 6-hour time allotment and proctored by a faculty member.

Area committees are responsible for preparing reading lists, overseeing the respective curriculum in this area, and providing students with written guidelines to aid them in the selection of courses and preparation for examinations. Area committees are responsible for designing the specific certification requirements for their particular area, preparing examination questions, and reading and evaluating exams.

Published guidelines and reading lists are reviewed and revised as necessary, usually on a regular cycle of every two (2) years; reading lists are to trend toward equality in length across comprehensive exam areas and committees should make them available on the department website and in the sociology office to students at the beginning of the academic year. The GPC will oversee these requirements.

Members of area committees and their chairs are appointed by the Chair of the Department. Committees are composed of at least three (3) members of the faculty, but committees may consult other members of the faculty with competence in the area during the preparation of exams and reading lists. Chairs of the committees are responsible for notifying students of their exam results within three (3) weeks. Committee Chairs are also required to notify the Director of Graduate Studies and the GPC of the results of examinations. Minimal preparation for written examinations includes mastery of material on the reading lists provided by area committees. However, reading lists are merely guidelines and should not be considered as the sole basis for examinations. Committees are responsible for clarification of the goals of reading lists, with specific attention to the question of whether such lists represent "minimal" or "exhaustive" definitions of the core literature. For each area of certification the candidate is expected to be: up-to-date with the literature in the field at the time of the exam, able to discuss the most important controversies, issues and problems (in both theory and methodology) that exist in the field, and capable of evaluating existing theory and methodology and suggesting new direction of effort.

No area comprehensive exam shall require more than one course beyond the requirements of the PhD degree. The area committee can, however, recommend additional courses. Recommended courses are those the committee advises, but does not require, students to take.

In addition to the written comprehensive exam in one area, students must write a qualifying paper in the other area. The same stipulations for course requirements as the area exam are required.

This paper should be of "publishable quality" (though it need not be submitted for publication) and should focus on specific areas in the literature, foundational pieces on the topic, and conclude with more specificity and application of the material relevant to the student's interests within the broad area of study. It should not be a dissertation proposal; rather, its intention is to evaluate students' critical thinking/analytic skills. A good model is found in the articles published in the journal *Annual Review of Sociology*. The paper must reflect the student's own work and not work done in collaboration with co-authors or faculty mentors. After the student turns in the qualifying paper,

the area committee with meet with the student to conduct an oral defense. Grading for the qualifying paper and dissemination of results will be the same as those stated for the comprehensive exam.

Papers will be due during the final week of classes of the semester, unless the student and exam committee agree on an extension (in which case a new due date will be set by the committee). Exam committees will provide guidance and feedback to students as they work on the paper; students must request feedback from the committee chair, who will in turn seek input from other faculty members.

Students are required to declare their intent to take a comprehensive examination or write the qualifying paper, in writing, within the first two weeks of the previous semester to the Director of Graduate Studies who will notify the relevant Area Committee. The Committee will schedule an interview with the student to explore his or her level of preparedness. Students are expected to provide Committee members with written documentation of their preparedness in that area prior to the interview (e.g. courses taken, etc.). All Ph.D. course requirements, including any outstanding incomplete grades, must be completed before students are eligible to take Ph.D. examinations. Students are encouraged to complete both the exam and qualifying paper during the two semesters immediately after they complete course requirements.

In the case of a failing grade, the student must retake the exam or rewrite the paper in the following semester.

The outcome of examinations and qualifying papers is determined by majority vote. There are four possible outcomes: Pass with distinction, Pass, Conditional outcome, Fail.

Conditional Outcomes: Students receiving this grade are required to complete additional work within two months of formal notification of the committee's decision. If the committee is satisfied with the quality of the work, the student will receive a grade of Pass. If the committee is not satisfied with the quality of the work, the student will receive a grade of Fail.

Students earning "conditional" outcomes have the option, at the committee's approval, to respond 1) orally or 2) by the traditional written form as indicated in the current policy. The student, in consultation with the area comprehensive exam chair and his/her mentor(s) will have two weeks to make the decision and notify the area chair and the Director of Graduate Studies. Within one week of formal notification of the grade, students will receive a detailed evaluation in writing from the chair of the area committee. Students who fail a written exam or qualifying paper, or any part thereof, may request a re-reading from the original area examination committee. This request is to be submitted within 20 days of the student receiving the detailed written evaluation.

Only one repeat for each area is permitted. Failure on a repeat examination or paper is a permanent failure for that area. Three failures of Ph.D. comprehensive examinations or qualifying papers in any combination shall result in dismissal from the program.

- 3. Procedure for petitions for variance in degree requirements

  The interpretation of these policies and procedures is the responsibility of the
  Graduate Policy Committee. Petitions for waivers of any requirements are the
  responsibility of, and must have the approval of, the Graduate Policy
  Committee. Students may appeal decisions of the GPC following this
  procedure:
  - Petition the GPC for reconsideration by adding additional information that the applicant feels may be significant.
  - In the event the GPC does not change its decision, students may petition the full faculty. A 3/4 vote is required to overrule the GPC.

Students who allege they have been aggrieved because of perceived discrimination on the basis of race, sex, sexual orientation, handicap or because a member of the University community fails to follow published University or Departmental procedure should utilize the Student Grievance Procedure stipulated by University policy.

- 4. There are no grad minimums that deviate from University policy.
- 5. There are no courses that may not be used towards the degree.
- 6. There are no expectations of facility of expression in English.

## B. Committees

1. Initial procedure for advising

Upon entry to the graduate program, students are matched with a faculty advisor with shared research interests. Students are then free to replace this advisor at any time.

Advisement occurs throughout the year through colloquia, workshops, and informal meetings. First-year graduate students are required to attend a proseminar, the goal of which is initial advising.

Each spring every student's progress is assessed by the Graduate Policy Committee. For this assessment they submit a self-report of progress during the year and a faculty member submits a letter describing the student's progress.

2. Student committees needed

It is the responsibility of the student to form a four-person Ph.D. dissertation committee, consisting of a chairperson, who must be a member of the department, and three additional members, two from within the department and one from an outside department. Faculty on joint appointments in the Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice are considered to be inside members of the department for the purposes of dissertation committees. After obtaining the written agreement of all members of the committee, the student must notify the Director of Graduate Studies. Subsequent changes in the composition of the dissertation committee also require the approval of the Graduate Policy Committee. Overlap in membership between the Graduate Policy Committee and the dissertation committee does not require those involved to disqualify themselves.

- 3. Deadlines for comprehensive exams (See above)
- 4. Dates and grading of comprehensive exams

(See above)

5. Human subjects approval

There are no guidelines for research with human subjects beyond those of the University's Human Subjects Review Board.

6. Procedures for dissertation approval

Dissertation proposals should be worked out with the advice of the dissertation committee. The student makes a copy of the proposal available to the departmental faculty at least 10 business days in advance of the scheduled dissertation proposal defense date.

The Committee Chair communicates final approval of the proposal to the faculty and the Director of Graduate Studies. A signed copy of the proposal is placed in the student's permanent file.

The student is responsible for initiating the paperwork necessary for admission to formal candidacy by the University Coordinator of Graduate Studies. (Please consult the graduate catalog for specific time schedules). Students are expected to prepare copies of the dissertation for the departmental archives, the chair of the committee, and those required by the Office of Graduate and Professional Education.

The bibliographic format and style of the dissertation must conform to the standards of the Office of Graduate and Professional Education.

All other requirements for the degree must be completed before the oral defense of dissertation can be scheduled.

Grading is limited to Pass or Fail, and a majority vote is required for any action. It is the responsibility of the chairperson of the dissertation committee to notify the Director of Graduate Studies of the decision of the dissertation committee.

- 7. Obligations for finding committee members
  It is the responsibility of the student to form a Ph.D. dissertation committee.
- 8. Obligations for changes in committee members
  It is the responsibility of the student to replace a Ph.D. dissertation committee if necessary.
- C. Timetable and definition of satisfactory progress towards the degree
  - 1. Academic load expectations

Students holding teaching or research assistantships involving specific responsibilities are required to register for a minimum of 6 graduate credits per semester. Please note, however, that in order to make normal progress through the program, it is typically necessary to take more than 6 credits per semester. Students holding a fellowship or scholarship with no work assignment are required to register for at least 9 credits of graduate level courses per semester.

Full time status is defined as 9 hours for unfunded students.

Students are expected to make continuing progress toward the completion of their graduate education. In order to assess their progress and professional development, each graduate student is required to submit a self-evaluation to the Director of Graduate Studies by April 15. The self-evaluation should cover the student's activities involving completion of required course work, area

examinations, thesis or dissertation progress, professional engagement (publications, conference presentations, involvement in external funding activities, and participation in research projects other than the thesis or dissertation), teaching, and other relevant items. In addition, a letter from one faculty member of the student's choice should be submitted. Under ordinary circumstances we define "normal progress" as the following: Optimally, students continuing into the doctoral program are expected to complete the doctorate by the end of their fifth year in the program.

Optimally, full-time students entering the Ph.D. program with a master's degree from another program or university are expected to complete the doctorate by the end of their fourth year in the program.

# 2. Grade requirements

Students must maintain a 3.0 GPA to maintain good standing. There are no grade requirements for specific courses.

# 3. Thesis progress timetable

Students are expected to defend a completed thesis by the end of their second year. Appropriate timing for completing and (if a defense is selected) defending a thesis proposal varies and will be determined by the student and advisor.

# 4. Dissertation defense guidelines

An oral defense of the dissertation proposal and of the dissertation are required. Each is administered by the dissertation committee. The dissertation student is responsible for notifying the faculty 10 days prior to each scheduled examination and to see that a copy of the dissertation proposal or dissertation is on file in the department office 10 days prior to the scheduled defense. The oral defense is open to the public, though the right of voting is reserved to the dissertation committee. Grading is limited to Pass or Fail, and a majority vote is required for any action. It is the responsibility of the chairperson of the dissertation committee to notify the Director of Graduate Studies of the decision of the dissertation committee.

#### 5. Forms required

- "Application for Advanced Degree" form. Submitted in the semester a student plans to graduate.
- "Supervisory Committee Notification" form. Submitted to Department when members of the M.A. thesis committee of Ph.D. dissertation committee have been formally selected and agreed to serve.
- Students completing the M.A. degree who intend to continue in the Ph.D. program must fill out and attach the "Change of Status" form.
- "Supervisory Committee Notification" form. Submitted to Department when members of the M.A. thesis committee of Ph.D. dissertation committee have been formally selected and agreed to serve.
- "Defense Notification" form. Submitted prior to a defense.
- "Recommendation for Candidacy for Doctoral Degree" form. Submitted after dissertation proposal has been approved.
- 6. Identify consequences for failure to make satisfactory progress

Consequences for students who fail to make satisfactory progress will be considered on a case-by-case basis by the Graduate Policy Committee. Typically, necessary supports will be identified during the Graduate Policy Committee's annual review and offered to students. Students may be considered for termination from funding or from the program after consultation with the Assistant Provost for Graduate and Professional Education.

7. Protocol for grievance procedure if a student has been recommended for termination for failure to make satisfactory progress.

The decision to terminate for failure to make satisfactory progress is made by the Director of Graduate Studies and the GPC. All appeals of a recommendation for termination are determined by the Department Chair. If a student still has concerns regarding termination from the program, s/he can appeal to the Department Policy Committee (DPC). Any members of the DPC who participated in the initial decision (the Director of Graduate Studies and any members of the GPC) would be recused from this appeals process.

# Academic Requirements For Ph.D. in Criminology

# A. Degree Requirements

- 1. Required Courses
  - There are 46 course credit hours required (not including thesis/ dissertation credit hours), consisting of the following required courses plus electives no more than 6 elective credits may be filled by independent study
  - SOCI605 Data Collection
  - SOCI606 Qualitative Methodology
  - SOCI614 Advanced Data Analysis
  - SOCI612 Development of Sociological Theory
  - SOCI835 Theoretical Criminology I
  - SOCI836 Application of Criminological Theory and Empirical Tests
  - SOCI 698 Teaching Social Science (1 credit)
  - One of the following:
    - SOCI625 Advanced Social Statistics
    - o PSYC878 Hierarchical Linear Modeling
    - o SOCI676 Advanced Qualitative Methodology
  - SOCI969 Dissertation (9 credits)
- 2. Non-registered Requirements

Comprehensive Exams. Candidates for the doctoral degree must be certified in two specialized areas. The department currently offers specialization in the following areas: Disasters and the Environment, Criminology, Deviance, Gender, Law and Society, Methodology/Statistics, Race, and Theory. Students in Criminology may not select deviance as their second area

Doctoral students must pass a comprehensive examination in one area and write a qualifying paper with a passing grade in the other area. Students are required to take at least one of their certified areas from the standing areas

offered by the department. If the candidate wishes to be certified in a specialized area for which there is no standing area committee, she or he may petition to do so after securing the agreement of three (3) faculty members willing to serve as examiners in that area by providing reading lists, constructing and evaluating examinations and other certification requirements. The GPC must review and approve the petition of the student and inform the Director of Graduate Studies and the Chairperson of the department of its decision.

A given area exam, e.g., deviance, will be offered once per semester, on a date to be determined by the Director of Graduate Studies in consultation with the area committee chairs. Comprehensive examinations will be administered to students in a common room with a maximum 6-hour time allotment and proctored by a faculty member.

Area committees are responsible for preparing reading lists, overseeing the respective curriculum in this area, and providing students with written guidelines to aid them in the selection of courses and preparation for examinations. Area committees are responsible for designing the specific certification requirements for their particular area, preparing examination questions, and reading and evaluating exams.

Published guidelines and reading lists are reviewed and revised as necessary, usually on a regular cycle of every two (2) years; reading lists are to trend toward equality in length across comprehensive exam areas and committees should make them available on the department website and in the sociology office to students at the beginning of the academic year. The GPC will oversee these requirements.

Members of area committees and their chairs are appointed by the Chair of the Department. Committees are composed of at least three (3) members of the faculty, but committees may consult other members of the faculty with competence in the area during the preparation of exams and reading lists. Chairs of the committees are responsible for notifying students of their exam results within three (3) weeks. Committee Chairs are also required to notify the Director of Graduate Studies and the GPC of the results of examinations. Minimal preparation for written examinations includes mastery of material on the reading lists provided by area committees. However, reading lists are merely guidelines and should not be considered as the sole basis for examinations. Committees are responsible for clarification of the goals of reading lists, with specific attention to the question of whether such lists represent "minimal" or "exhaustive" definitions of the core literature. For each area of certification the candidate is expected to be: up-to-date with the literature in the field at the time of the exam, able to discuss the most important controversies, issues and problems (in both theory and methodology) that exist in the field, and capable of evaluating existing theory and methodology and suggesting new direction of effort.

No area comprehensive exam shall require more than one course beyond the requirements of the PhD degree. The area committee can, however,

recommend additional courses. Recommended courses are those the committee advises, but does not require, students to take.

In addition to the written comprehensive exam in one area, students must write a qualifying paper in the other area. The same stipulations for course requirements as the area exam are required.

This paper should be of "publishable quality" (though it need not be submitted for publication) and should focus on specific areas in the literature, foundational pieces on the topic, and conclude with more specificity and application of the material relevant to the student's interests within the broad area of study. It should not be a dissertation proposal; rather, its intention is to evaluate students' critical thinking/analytic skills. A good model is found in the articles published in the journal *Annual Review of Sociology*. The paper must reflect the student's own work and not work done in collaboration with co-authors or faculty mentors. After the student turns in the qualifying paper, the area committee with meet with the student to conduct an oral defense. Grading for the qualifying paper and dissemination of results will be the same as those stated for the comprehensive exam.

Papers will be due during the final week of classes of the semester, unless the student and exam committee agree on an extension (in which case a new due date will be set by the committee). Exam committees will provide guidance and feedback to students as they work on the paper; students must request feedback from the committee chair, who will in turn seek input from other faculty members.

Students are required to declare their intent to take a comprehensive examination or write the qualifying paper, in writing, within the first two weeks of the previous semester to the Director of Graduate Studies who will notify the relevant Area Committee. The Committee will schedule an interview with the student to explore his or her level of preparedness. Students are expected to provide Committee members with written documentation of their preparedness in that area prior to the interview (e.g. courses taken, etc.). All Ph.D. course requirements, including any outstanding incomplete grades, must be completed before students are eligible to take Ph.D. examinations. Students are encouraged to complete both the exam and qualifying paper during the two semesters immediately after they complete course requirements.

In the case of a failing grade, the student must retake the exam or rewrite the paper in the following semester.

The outcome of examinations and qualifying papers is determined by majority vote. There are four possible outcomes: Pass with distinction, Pass, Conditional outcome, Fail.

Conditional Outcomes: Students receiving this grade are required to complete additional work within two months of formal notification of the committee's decision. If the committee is satisfied with the quality of the work, the student will receive a grade of Pass. If the committee is not satisfied with the quality of the work, the student will receive a grade of Fail.

Students earning "conditional" outcomes have the option, at the committee's approval, to respond 1) orally or 2) by the traditional written form as indicated in the current policy. The student, in consultation with the area comprehensive exam chair and his/her mentor(s) will have two weeks to make the decision and notify the area chair and the Director of Graduate Studies. Within one week of formal notification of the grade, students will receive a detailed evaluation in writing from the chair of the area committee. Students who fail a written exam or qualifying paper, or any part thereof, may request a re-reading from the original area examination committee. This request is to be submitted within 20 days of the student receiving the detailed written evaluation.

Only one repeat for each area is permitted. Failure on a repeat examination or paper is a permanent failure for that area. Three failures of Ph.D. comprehensive examinations or qualifying papers in any combination shall result in dismissal from the program.

- 3. Procedure for petitions for variance in degree requirements

  The interpretation of these policies and procedures is the responsibility of the
  Graduate Policy Committee. Petitions for waivers of any requirements are the
  responsibility of, and must have the approval of, the Graduate Policy
  Committee. Students may appeal decisions of the GPC following this
  procedure:
  - Petition the GPC for reconsideration by adding additional information that the applicant feels may be significant.
  - In the event the GPC does not change its decision, students may petition the full faculty. A 3/4 vote is required to overrule the GPC.

Students who allege they have been aggrieved because of perceived discrimination on the basis of race, sex, sexual orientation, handicap or because a member of the University community fails to follow published University or Departmental procedure should utilize the Student Grievance Procedure stipulated by University policy.

- 4. There are no grad minimums that deviate from University policy.
- 5. There are no courses that may not be used towards the degree.
- 6. There are no expectations of facility of expression in English.

## B. Committees

1. Initial procedure for advising

Upon entry to the graduate program, students are matched with a faculty advisor with shared research interests. Students are then free to replace this advisor at any time.

Advisement occurs throughout the year through colloquia, workshops, and informal meetings. First-year graduate students are required to attend a proseminar, the goal of which is initial advising.

Each spring every student's progress is assessed by the Graduate Policy Committee. For this assessment they submit a self-report of progress during the year and a faculty member submits a letter describing the student's progress.

2. Student committees needed

It is the responsibility of the student to form a four-person Ph.D. dissertation committee, consisting of a chairperson, who must be a member of the department, and three additional members, two from within the department and one from an outside department. Faculty on joint appointments in the Department of Sociology and Criminal Justice are considered to be inside members of the department for the purposes of dissertation committees. After obtaining the written agreement of all members of the committee, the student must notify the Director of Graduate Studies. Subsequent changes in the composition of the dissertation committee also require the approval of the Graduate Policy Committee. Overlap in membership between the Graduate Policy Committee and the dissertation committee does not require those involved to disqualify themselves.

- 3. Deadlines for comprehensive exams (See above)
- 4. Dates and grading of comprehensive exams (See above)
- 5. Human subjects approval
  There are no guidelines for research with human subjects beyond those of the
  University's Human Subjects Review Board.
- 6. Procedures for dissertation approval

Dissertation proposals should be worked out with the advice of the dissertation committee. The student makes a copy of the proposal available to the departmental faculty at least 10 business days in advance of the scheduled dissertation proposal defense date.

The Committee Chair communicates final approval of the proposal to the faculty and the Director of Graduate Studies. A signed copy of the proposal is placed in the student's permanent file.

The student is responsible for initiating the paperwork necessary for admission to formal candidacy by the University Coordinator of Graduate Studies. (Please consult the graduate catalog for specific time schedules). Students are expected to prepare copies of the dissertation for the departmental archives, the Chair of the committee, and those required by the Office of Graduate and Professional Education.

The bibliographic format and style of the dissertation must conform to the standards of the Office of Graduate and Professional Education.

All other requirements for the degree must be completed before the oral defense of dissertation can be scheduled.

Grading is limited to Pass or Fail, and a majority vote is required for any action. It is the responsibility of the Chairperson of the dissertation committee to notify the Director of Graduate Studies of the decision of the dissertation committee.

- 7. Obligations for finding committee members
  It is the responsibility of the student to form a Ph.D. dissertation committee.
- 8. Obligations for changes in committee members
  It is the responsibility of the student to replace a Ph.D. dissertation committee if necessary.

## C. Timetable and definition of satisfactory progress towards the degree

## 1. Academic load expectations

Students holding teaching or research assistantships involving specific responsibilities are required to register for a minimum of 6 graduate credits per semester. Please note, however, that in order to make normal progress through the program, it is typically necessary to take more than 6 credits per semester. Students holding a fellowship or scholarship with no work assignment are required to register for at least 9 credits of graduate level courses per semester.

Full time status is defined as 9 hours for unfunded students.

Students are expected to make continuing progress toward the completion of their graduate education. In order to assess their progress and professional development, each graduate student is required to submit a self-evaluation to the Director of Graduate Studies by April 15. The self-evaluation should cover the student's activities involving completion of required course work, area examinations, thesis or dissertation progress, professional engagement (publications, conference presentations, involvement in external funding activities, and participation in research projects other than the thesis or dissertation), teaching, and other relevant items. In addition, a letter from one faculty member of the student's choice should be submitted. Under ordinary circumstances we define "normal progress" as the following: Optimally, students continuing into the doctoral program are expected to complete the doctorate by the end of their fifth year in the program.

Optimally, full-time students entering the Ph.D. program with a master's degree from another program or university are expected to complete the doctorate by the end of their fourth year in the program.

# 2. Grade requirements

Students must maintain a 3.0 GPA to maintain good standing. There are no grade requirements for specific courses.

## 3. Thesis progress timetable

Students are expected to defend a completed thesis by the end of their second year. Appropriate timing for completing and (if a defense is selected) defending a thesis proposal varies and will be determined by the student and advisor.

## 4. Dissertation defense guidelines

An oral defense of the dissertation proposal and of the dissertation are required. Each is administered by the dissertation committee. The dissertation student is responsible for notifying the faculty 10 days prior to each scheduled examination and to see that a copy of the dissertation proposal or dissertation is on file in the department office 10 days prior to the scheduled defense. The oral defense is open to the public, though the right of voting is reserved to the dissertation committee. Grading is limited to Pass or Fail, and a majority vote is required for any action. It is the responsibility of the chairperson of the dissertation committee to notify the Director of Graduate Studies of the decision of the dissertation committee.

## 5. Forms required

- "Application for Advanced Degree" form. Submitted in the semester a student plans to graduate.
- "Supervisory Committee Notification" form. Submitted to Department when members of the M.A. thesis committee of Ph.D. dissertation committee have been formally selected and agreed to serve.
- Students completing the M.A. degree who intend to continue in the Ph.D. program must fill out and attach the "Change of Status" form
- "Supervisory Committee Notification" form. Submitted to Department when members of the M.A. thesis committee of Ph.D. dissertation committee have been formally selected and agreed to serve.
- "Defense Notification" form. Submitted prior to a defense.
- "Recommendation for Candidacy for Doctoral Degree" form. Submitted after dissertation proposal has been approved.
- 6. Identify consequences for failure to make satisfactory progress
  Consequences for students who fail to make satisfactory progress will be
  considered on a case-by-case basis by the Graduate Policy Committee.
  Typically, necessary supports will be identified during the Graduate Policy
  Committee's annual review and offered to students. Students may be
  considered for termination from funding or from the program after
  consultation with the Assistant Provost for Graduate and Professional
  Education.
- 7. Protocol for grievance procedure if a student has been recommended for termination for failure to make satisfactory progress.

  The decision to terminate for failure to make satisfactory progress is made by the Director of Graduate Studies and the GPC. All appeals of a recommendation for termination are determined by the Department Chair. If a student still has concerns regarding termination from the program, s/he can appeal to the Department Policy Committee (DPC). Any members of the DPC who participated in the initial decision (the Director of Graduate Studies and any members of the GPC) would be recused from this appeals process.

## IV. Assessment Plan

Multiple assessment methods are used to assess the following goals:

- Students will learn to conduct original research and demonstrate skills in academic instruction and service.
- Students will master the theoretical knowledge and methodological skills required for their chosen degrees and fields of study.
- Students will demonstrate comprehensive knowledge in at least two specialized areas within sociology or criminology.

Assessment methods include the following:

- As described above, the Graduate Policy Committee conducts an annual review of every student.
- Every two years, department administrators collect data on student publications, including co-authored publications with faculty

- Every year, department administrators collect data on graduated students' job placements.
- Every year, department administrators collect data on length of time until degree completion among students.
- Every year the Department's Graduate Council conducts a survey on students' views of courses, department policy, and supports necessary for degree progress.
- Comprehensive exam committees administer comprehensive exams and qualifying papers to ensure competency in substantive areas for all doctoral students.

## V. Financial Aid

1. Types of awards, policy for granting awards, summer appointments, and number of years of support.

Financial aid is available to graduate students in the form of teaching assistantships, research assistantships, tuition scholarships, and University fellowships. Assistantships and fellowships consist of tuition and a stipend for the September-May academic year. Awards are competitive and merit-based. All funding decisions are made in consultation with the Graduate Policy Committee, the Director of Graduate Studies, and the Department Chair. Continuing students without funding may petition the GPC to be considered for future funding. Letters of petition should be sent to the Chair of the GPC by the end of the Fall semester in order to be considered for funding for the following academic year.

Appointments for Research Assistantships are made in consultation with the faculty member conducting the research, the Director of Graduate Studies and the Department Chair. Faculty who anticipate funding for a research assistantship for the following academic year are encouraged to contact graduate students to inform them of possible research assistantship opportunities and to identify qualified students who wish to work on their projects. Research assistantships on funded projects may be offered to students not currently funded by the University or Department.

Appointments for Teaching Assistantship are made by the Director of Graduate Studies in consultation with the Chair each semester. Faculty are required to submit a request for a teaching assistant form for each semester they are seeking an assistant specifying their expectations of the assistant (e.g., facilitation of classroom discussion, preparation and grading of examinations). The assignment of graduate students to faculty members should balance scholarly interests and professional objectives of the student and the teaching and research needs of the department. The Director of Graduate Studies may change assignments as necessary and make additional assignments if new sources of funding become available.

Typically, eligibility for student funding is limited to 2 years of funding for students with a B.A. to earn the M.A. degree, and 3 additional years for these students to earn the Ph.D; for students entering with an M.A. degree, eligibility in typical cases ends after 4 years of funding. The GPC may recommend extending

these time frames based on a student's progress toward his/her degree and individual circumstances, but there are no guarantees. Funded students are not required to apply for renewal of their financial aid. Student funding is renewed as long as they remain in good standing in the program. "Good standing" is defined as strong performance in coursework, satisfactory fulfillment of their research/teaching assistantship duties, and timely progress toward completion of the degree. The Director of Graduate Studies is in charge of monitoring student progress, in consultation with the Graduate Policy Committee.

2. Responsibilities of students on contract

Assistantships carry the expectation of twenty hours of work per week. University guidelines stipulate that students holding assistantships are expected to give their full-time attention to graduate study and their assigned assistantship work during the fall and spring semesters. Students receiving University fellowships are expected to devote their full time to graduate study and may not engage in any remunerative employment while holding the fellowship. Students holding tuition scholarships have no employment restrictions. All students receiving financial aid must maintain a minimum grade point average of 3.0. Students awarded assistantships must satisfactorily fulfill the requirements of their assignments.

3. Evaluation of students on contract Evaluation of students' performance at assistantship duties are included in their annual evaluation conducted by the Graduate Policy Committee, discussed above.

# VI. Departmental Operations

- A. General student responsibilities
  - 1. Up-to-date addresses, etc.
    Students are asked by departmental administrators for their up-to-date contact information each year, including address, phone, and email.
  - 2. Laboratories and research equipment Not applicable
  - 3. Hazardous Chemical Information Act Not applicable
  - 4. Vehicles
    Not applicable
  - 5. Keys, offices, mail, telephone, copy machine, computer terminals, etc. Students will have access to departmental office space at a location to be assigned by the Director of Graduate Studies. Each will receive a key to access this space. Students will also have access to the departmental graduate student computer lab at 25 Amstel Ave., and to telephone services in their offices. Mail can be retrieved and copy machine used in 325 Smith Hall, in the Criminal Justice office.
- B. Student government and organizations
  Students are encouraged to join the Department Graduate Student Council, and to
  participate in Department events, including: colloquia, Department conferences,
  workshops, and receptions.

Students are also encouraged to join professional academic organizations and attend conferences held by these organizations, when possible and relevant. These may include:

- The American Sociological Association
- The American Society of Criminology
- The Eastern Sociological Society
- The Law and Society Association
- The Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences
- Society for the Study of Social Problems
- C. Travel for professional meetings or presentations

Students are strongly encouraged to travel to professional conferences to present their work. The Department makes money available to students when possible to offset costs of travel. Students are also encouraged to seek funding opportunities form the College of Arts and Sciences, the Office of Graduate and Professional Education, and other units on campus.