Group Dynamics 101



Institute for Transforming Undergraduate Education

University of Delaware



PBL2002: A Pathway to Better Learning

June 16-20, 2002



Session Objective

To explore and discuss strategies an instructor can use to maintain functional groups in the classroom

Not searching for peas in a pod





Collaborative Learning

Informal

Short term Impermanent Ad hoc

Examples: Think-Pair-Share Minute papers Concept testing

Formal

Longer activities Permanent groups Instructor assigned

Examples: Jigsaw groups Presentations, debates Problem-, project-based learning



Five Elements of Cooperative Learning

- Positive interdependence
- Individual accountability
- Promotive interaction (face-to-face)
- Use of teamwork skills
- Group processing



Johnson, Johnson & Smith. "Maximizing Instruction Through Cooperative Learning." AAHE Prism. Feb. 1998



Why Use Groups?

- Committed to it based on research and observation*
- Simulates the "real world" use of teams
- High motivation when actively involved
- Learn more fully and with less effort
- Learn in context

*Springer, Stanne, & Donovan. 1999. Review of Educational Research 69:21-52.



The Top 5 Ways to Wreck a Group

List 5 behaviors or actions that can undermine good group function

Report out in 5 minutes





- What if anything is wrong with this group?
- What could be done to help this group work better?
- Could this situation have been prevented?



Videotape Credits

Author: "Dawn's Eight O'Clock" – Harold White

Director: Nancy King

Producers: Deborah Allen and Harold White

Student Actors: Melissa Reddish, Michelle Lyons, Eric Moskal, Crystal Mack, Amanda Simons



- Set the stage early
- Form heterogeneous groups
- Use permanent groups
- Rotate roles of responsibility
- Rely on group-selected ground rules
- Conduct peer evaluations



Suggestions for Getting Started

- Explain why learning in groups is a good strategy.
- Ask students to report on past experiences.
- Talk about support mechanisms.
- Use group warm-up activities.



Forming Groups

Randomly heterogeneous -"counting off" :

- from roster
- in class

Intentionally heterogeneous, based on information:

- from student records
- supplied by students



Forming Heterogeneous Groups Without Information

If you are in Math, Phys. Sci, or Engineering, add 25	(25)		
If you are in Biological or Health Sciences add 50 If you are in Business or Economics, add 75 If you are in Humanities, Soc. Sci. or Educ., add 100 If you are Male, add 100	-		
		If you are Female, add 200	(200)
		Sum the digits of your Social Security Number	(39)
		Sum the seven digits of your office Phone Number	(42)
GRAND TOTAL (Your Number)	(306)		





Discussion Leader Keeps group on track; maintains full participation Recorder Records assignments, strategies, unresolved issues, data; convenes group outside of class



Reporter

Reports out during whole class discussion; writes up final draft of assignments

Accuracy Coach

Checks group understanding; finds resources



Examples of Ground Rules

- **Come to class on time every day**
- Come to class having done the assignment and prepared to discuss it
- Must notify members of the group ahead of time if must miss class for any reason
- **Be willing to share information**
- **Respect the views, values, and ideas of other members of the group**

If members of the group violate these ground rules, other members of the group may impose the following consequences:



Peer Evaluation

Some general suggestions:

- Use predetermined written criteria that focus primarily on behaviors
- Do at least 2X per semester
- Factor results into students' grades
- Summarize results and distribute summaries
- Keep the process simple
- Incorporate into group assignments



Using Groups in Larger Classes, with Inexperienced Students

- Use well-defined activities with clearly stated objectives.
- Bring the class together for discussion and/or clarification at frequent intervals.
- Plan both group and individual assignments.
- Look for signs of behaviors that undermine group function.
- Use peer group facilitators.



A team of students had four members called Everybody, Somebody, Anybody, and Nobody. There was an important job to be done. Everybody was sure that Somebody would do it. Anybody could have done it, but Nobody did it. Somebody got angry about that because it was Everybody's job. Everybody thought Anybody could do it but Nobody realized that Everybody wouldn't do it. It ended up that Everybody blamed Somebody when Nobody did what Anybody could have done.

- Graham Gibbs, "Learning in Teams"





4 *home* groups, with 4 members each

4 new *expert* groups, with one representative from each *home* group

(Aronson et al. 1978. The Jigsaw Classroom. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.)