

“Original Research: Preoperative Predictors for Non-Copers to Pass Return to Sports Criteria After ACL Reconstruction” by Hartigan et al.

*Journal of Applied Biomechanics*

© 2011 Human Kinetics, Inc.

*Note.* This article will be published in a forthcoming issue of the *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*. The article appears here in its peer-reviewed and accepted form; it has not been copyedited, proofread, or formatted by the publisher.

**Section:** Original Research

**Article Title:** Preoperative Predictors for Non-Copers to Pass Return to Sports Criteria After ACL Reconstruction

**Authors:** Erin H. Hartigan, Joseph Zeni Jr., Stephanie Di Stasi, Michael J. Axe, and Lynn Snyder-Mackler

**Affiliations:** Erin H. Hartigan is with the Physical Therapy Department and the Biomechanics and Movement Sciences Program, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, and also with the Physical Therapy Department, University of New England, Portland, ME. Joseph Zeni Jr. and Stephanie Di Stasi are with the Physical Therapy Department and the Biomechanics and Movement Sciences Program, University of Delaware, Newark, DE. Michael J. Axe is with the Physical Therapy Department and Biomechanics and Movement Sciences Program, University of Delaware, Newark, DE; First State Orthopaedics, Newark, DE; and with the Physical Therapy Department, University of Delaware, Newark, DE. Lynn Snyder-Mackler is with the Physical Therapy Department and Biomechanics and Movement Sciences Program, University of Delaware, Newark, DE.

**Journal:** *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*

©2011 Human Kinetics, Inc.

“Original Research: Preoperative Predictors for Non-Copers to Pass Return to Sports Criteria After ACL Reconstruction” by Hartigan et al.

*Journal of Applied Biomechanics*

© 2011 Human Kinetics, Inc.

**Title:** Pre-operative Predictors for Non-copers to Pass Return to Sports Criteria after ACL Reconstruction

**Authors:** Erin H. Hartigan <sup>1,2</sup>, PT, ATC, PhD, Joseph Zeni Jr. <sup>1</sup>, PT, PhD, Stephanie Di Stasi <sup>1</sup>, PT, Michael J. Axe <sup>1,3</sup>, MD, Lynn Snyder-Mackler <sup>1,4</sup>, PT, ATC, ScD

**Affiliations:** 1. Researcher, Physical Therapy Department and Biomechanics and Movement Sciences Program, University of Delaware, Newark, DE. 2. Assistant Professor, Physical Therapy Department, University of New England, Portland, ME 3. Orthopaedic Surgeon, First State Orthopaedics, Newark, DE and Clinical Professor, Physical Therapy Department, University of Delaware, Newark, DE. 4. Alumni Distinguished Professor, Physical Therapy Department and Biomechanics and Movement Sciences Program, University of Delaware, Newark, DE.

This study was financed by grants from the NIH (R01AR048212 and S10RR022396), Foundation for Physical Therapy (PODS I and II), and University of Delaware Dissertation Fellowship. The protocol for this study was approved by the University of Delaware Human Subjects Review Board.

Corresponding author: Erin Hartigan, Physical Therapy Department, University of New England, Portland, ME 04103. Email: ehartigan@une.edu

**Abstract:**

Less than 50% of athletes pass criteria to return to sports (RTS) 6 months after ACL reconstruction (ACLR). Using data on these 38 non-copers, we hypothesized that: pre-operative age, quadriceps strength index (QI), and knee flexion moments (KFM) during gait would predict the ability to pass/fail RTS criteria; and pre-operative quadriceps strength gains would be predictive of passing RTS criteria. Gait analysis and strength data was collected before and after a pre-operative intervention and 6 months after ACLR. Age, QI, and KFM each contributed to the predictability to pass or fail RTS criteria 6 months after ACLR. Collectively, the variables predict 69% who would pass and 82% who would fail RTS criteria 6 months after ACLR. Younger athletes who have symmetrical quadriceps strength and greater KFM were more likely to pass RTS criteria. 63% of those who increased pre-operative quadriceps strength passed RTS criteria whereas 73% who did not failed. Increasing quadriceps strength in non-copers prior to ACLR seems warranted.

*Key words:* anterior cruciate ligament; non-copers; return to sports

## **Introduction**

After anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture and reconstruction, many athletes desire to return to participation in their pre-injury sports. Return to sports rates vary among investigations, though it is clear that not all athletes are able to return to their pre-injury sports following ACL reconstruction (Lee, et al., 2008; Nakayama, et al., 2000; Smith, et al., 2004). An overwhelming number of health care professionals recommend that an athlete can return to sports six months after ACL reconstruction (Alvarez, et al., 2008; Aune, et al., 2001; Barrett, et al., 2002; Cascio, et al., 2004; Ejerhed, et al., 2003; Marcacci, et al., 2003; Moller, et al., 2001; Noyes, et al., 2000; Peterson, et al., 2001; Pinczewski, et al., 2002; Scranton, et al., 2002; Wagner, et al., 2005; Webster, et al., 2001). Despite the prevalence of this blanket recommendation, no prospective studies have validated the 6 month time frame for returning to sports. In addition, there is a paucity of evidence that indicates which measurable variables help predict the likelihood of successfully returning our athletes to sports.

There is a differential response in athletes acutely after an ACL rupture (Eastlack, et al., 1999) and patients can either be classified as a copers or non-coper based on functional performance. Copers are able to continue playing high-level sports without the need for ACL reconstruction, while non-copers have strength deficits and episodes of knee buckling (Fitzgerald, et al., 2000a). Therefore, non-copers traditionally undergo ACL reconstruction prior to

attempting to return to sports (Hurd, et al., 2008a). Acutely after injury, non-copers present with quadriceps strength deficits on the involved limb (Eastlack, et al., 1999; Rudolph, et al., 2001), have altered movement patterns (Rudolph, et al., 2001), and are older than those classified as potential copers (Hurd, et al., 2008b). Even after ACL reconstruction, less than 50% of non-copers pass the return to sports criteria 6 months after ACL reconstruction (Hartigan, et al., 2010). Pre-operative and post-operative quadriceps strength influences functional outcomes after ACL reconstruction, with stronger patients having better outcomes after ACL reconstruction (Eitzen, et al., 2009; Hurd, et al., 2007a; Keays, et al., 2003; Lewek, et al., 2002; Noyes, et al., 1991). Despite this, not all patients who regained post-operative quadriceps strength meet other return to sports criteria, indicating that quadriceps strength is not the only factor related to returning to sports (Hartigan, et al., 2010).

Reduced external knee flexion moments are prevalent after ACL injury and persist after ACL reconstruction (Alkjaer, et al., 2003; Bush-Joseph, et al., 2001; DeVita, et al., 1998; Hart, et al., 2010; Rudolph, et al., 2001; Rudolph, et al., 1998; Rudolph & Snyder-Mackler, 2004; Timoney, et al., 1993). The external knee flexion moment during stance is counteracted by activation of the quadriceps muscles. Non-copers typically demonstrate a reduced external knee moment, which may be a direct result of insufficient quadriceps strength (Rudolph, et al., 2001), or in the presence of adequate quadriceps strength, may

demonstrate a flawed neuromuscular strategy in these individuals. Additionally, non-copers use excessive muscle activity in the hamstring and gastrocnemius muscles, which are antagonistic to the quadriceps muscles, hindering the ability of the quadriceps muscles to counteract the external flexion moment (Rudolph, et al., 2001). The inability to utilize normal kinetic and neuromuscular control strategies may impact the ability to achieve higher level goals, including returning to sport, although this has not been empirically tested.

Age may also factor into recovery after ACL injury and surgery. The middle-aged population is more likely to be classified as non-copers (Hurd, et al., 2008b), underscoring older age as a potential predictor of worse function after ACL rupture. Older individuals have delayed healing time and have difficulty overcoming muscle atrophy, which may strongly affect post-operative outcomes (Jarvinen & Kannus, 1987; Kannus, et al., 1988; Sakuma & Yamaguchi, 2010).

The University of Delaware utilizes a strict set of criteria that must be achieved in order for athletes to return to sports after ACL reconstruction (Fitzgerald, et al., 2000c). The athlete must demonstrate at least 90% symmetry between the injured and non-injured limb for quadriceps strength, timed hops, and hops for distance. In addition, the athletes must also have a score of 90% or greater on the Knee Outcome Survey – Activities of Daily Living Scale and the global rating scale of knee function. Non-copers have a poor prognosis of passing this strict return to sport criteria within six months of surgical

reconstruction (Hartigan, et al., 2010). Determining pre-operative variables that predict the success of passing these return to sports criteria post-operatively may help improve the prognosis of athletes classified as non-copers.

Because pre-operative status is related to outcomes after ACL reconstruction, our clinic has incorporated pre-operative physical therapy to improve strength and neuromuscular control strategies for non-copers who are planning to undergo ACL reconstruction. A previous randomized control trial using a pre-operative neuromuscular physical therapy intervention called perturbation training, produced superior outcomes in a high-functioning subset of ACL injured patients. Perturbation training is a neuromuscular retraining program that teaches patients to utilize appropriate movement strategies in response to rapid, multidirectional perturbations at the foot/board interface. Patients who received perturbation training were 5 times more likely to successfully return to sports without further complaints of the knee giving way compared to those who did not receive perturbation training (Fitzgerald, et al., 2000b). However, this same intervention did not have the equivalent effects in the non-coper cohort of ACL injured patients (Hartigan, et al., 2010). This lack of effect in the non-coper group and the poor post-operative outcomes demonstrated by non-copers 6 months after ACL reconstruction prompted us to evaluate which specific variables contributed to return to sports success.

The purpose of this study was two-fold. The first aim of the study was

to determine which pre-operative variables predict the ability to return to sport in non-copers six months after ACL reconstruction. We hypothesized that age, quadriceps strength and knee flexion moments would predict the ability to pass or fail return to sport criteria 6 months after ACL reconstruction. The second aim of the study was to determine if subjects who gained strength after the pre-operative physical therapy intervention would have a better prognosis post-operatively. We hypothesized that pre-operative quadriceps strength gains would be predictive of passing return to sports criteria after ACL reconstruction.

## **Methods**

Thirty-eight athletes diagnosed with an isolated ACL rupture were included in this analysis (Table 1). Athletes who participate in level 1 (e.g. basketball) or level 2 (e.g. soccer or heavy manual laborers) activities on a regular basis were recruited (Daniel, et al., 1994; Hefti, et al., 1993). Subjects were eligible for the study if they were classified as a non-coper during a screening by a physical therapist. An athlete is classified as a non-coper if he/she presents with any one of the following: 1) at least one episode of the knee giving way during daily activities; 2) a score of less than 80% on the Knee Outcome Survey-Activities of Daily Living Scale Questionnaire; 3) a score of less than 80% on the 6-meter Timed Hop test; or 4) a score of less than 60% on the global rating of knee function questionnaire (Fitzgerald, et al., 2000a). In

addition, to be included in the study athletes must have been between 13 and 55 years old, had a complete ACL rupture confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging, demonstrated greater than a 3 mm difference in anterior translation between limbs using the KT 1000 arthrometer and were within 10 months of the ACL injury. Subjects were excluded if they presented with a full thickness chondral lesion greater than 1 cm<sup>2</sup>, had a repairable meniscus tear or a concomitant grade 3 ligamentous injury to another ligament in the knee. The protocol for this study was approved by the University of Delaware Human Subjects Review Board and informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

All subjects received 10 sessions of pre-operative physical therapy. This cohort was part of a larger randomized clinical trial, described in depth elsewhere (Hartigan, et al., 2009). The rehabilitation regimen consisted of a progressive isotonic and isokinetic strengthening exercises to maximize quadriceps strength in the involved limb using high loads and low repetitions (Manal & Snyder-Mackler, 1996). In addition to the strength training, 18 subjects also received specialized perturbation training (Fitzgerald, et al., 2000c) to improve the neuromuscular control of the injured limb. No differences in return to sports rates (Hartigan, et al., 2010) or measured variables (Table 2) existed between those patients who received perturbation training and those patients who did not; therefore data from the perturbation and strength groups were pooled for this study. Functional and biomechanical data were collected prior to

and following the completion of the pre-operative intervention and 6 months after ACL reconstruction.

Return to sport (RTS) criteria were based upon the work of Fitzgerald and colleagues (Fitzgerald, et al., 2000c). Passing RTS required at least 90% symmetry between the injured and non-injured limb for quadriceps strength and four hop scores (single, crossed, and triple hops for distance and a 6 meter timed hop) described by Noyes and colleagues (Noyes, et al., 1991). In addition, passing RTS required that the athletes score at least 90% on two self-report questionnaires: the Knee Outcome Survey – Activities of Daily Living Scale (KOS-ADLS) and the global rating scale. These questionnaires provide reliable, valid and responsive assessments of functional limitations of the knee during daily activities and global knee function compared to the level of function before the injury, respectively (Irrgang, et al., 1998).

Three months after ACL reconstruction, athletes could attempt to pass criteria to return to sport if they had achieved four clinical milestones: knee effusion grade of 1+ or less; full knee range of motion; quadriceps strength index of at least 80%; and no pain with hopping while braced. An athlete was unable to attempt to pass RTS criteria until they achieved these clinical milestones, regardless of the time since surgery. If the athlete was unable to score at least 90% on any of the RTS criterion, they did not pass and were not cleared to return to sport. Athletes were able to re-test, every 2 to 4 weeks depending upon

functional gains, until they achieve a passing score

### **Biomechanical Variables**

Motion analyses were performed in accordance with previously described protocols (Hurd & Snyder-Mackler, 2007). Retro-reflective markers and tracking shells were affixed to the subjects' pelvis and lower extremities to track limb motion. A static standing calibration was collected prior to gait analysis. A passive, three dimensional, eight camera motion analysis system (VICON, Oxford Metrics, London, England) was used to capture video data sampled at a frequency of 120 Hz and low-pass filtered at 6 Hz with a fourth order, phase-corrected Butterworth filter.

Force data were acquired from a single six-component force platform (Bertec Corporation, Worthington, OH) and were sampled at 1080 Hz and filtered with a fourth order, phase-corrected Butterworth filter with a low-pass cut-off frequency of 40 Hz (Hurd & Snyder-Mackler, 2007). Vertical ground reaction force was used to determine the stance phase of gait and Visual3d software v.3.77 (C-motion, Germantown, MD USA) was used for the calculation of joint angles and moments (Winter, 2005). Knee flexion moments measured at peak knee flexion angle during the stance phase of gait were expressed as external moments normalized to the product of body mass and height. Knee flexion moments at peak knee flexion were chosen as a variable of interest as non-copers use an aberrant knee moment strategy at this instant in the gait cycle

(Rudolph, et al., 2001).

Several practice trials, at walking speeds within 1.4 to 1.6 m/s, were performed through a 13 meter walkway to ensure consistent speed, proper foot contact with the force plate without targeting, and visualization of stance limb markers throughout the collection volume. Five usable walking trials were obtained to determine average knee joint angles and moments across the stance phase of gait normalized to 100% of stance. Subjects were required to match their baseline average speed ( $\pm 5\%$ ) at each follow-up data collection.

### **Functional Outcome Measures**

Quadriceps force was measured during a maximum isometric contraction on a dynamometer (KIN-COM; Chattanooga Corporation, Chattanooga, TN) with the burst super-imposition test, described elsewhere (Kent-Braun & Le Blanc, 1996; Snyder-Mackler, et al., 1995). Practice trials, the burst of electrical activity, and verbal encouragement were provided to ensure that a maximum voluntary effort was performed during the task (Chmielewski, et al., 2004). Quadriceps strength is operationally defined in this paper as the force produced from a maximal voluntary contraction of the quadriceps, prior to the application of the electrical stimulation during the burst superimposition. The force output was normalized to body mass index (N/BMI). The quadriceps index was defined as the interlimb symmetry of quadriceps strength (force produced by the quadriceps on the involved limb divided by the force produced by the

quadriceps on the uninvolved limb and expressed as a percentage).

### **Statistical Analysis**

Quadriceps strength, quadriceps index and knee flexion moments were measured at baseline testing, upon completion of the 10 pre-operative physical therapy sessions, and 6 months after ACL reconstruction (Table 3). To address the first aim of the study, a binary logistic hierarchical regression was used to determine the predictive ability of age, quadriceps index and knee flexion moment on return to sport status 6 months post-operatively. Predictor variables were entered in order of age, quadriceps index, and knee flexion moment at peak knee flexion. This order allowed our non-modifiable predictor to be account for first. Knee flexion moments were entered last to test whether this variable would significantly predict the ability to pass or fail RTS criteria after accounting for the strength variable. To address the second aim of the study, the magnitude of the change in quadriceps strength was calculated pre-operatively (e.g. involved limb quadriceps force measured upon completion of the 10 pre-operative physical therapy sessions minus the force measured at baseline). This change in pre-operative quadriceps strength (N/BMI) between these two pre-operative timepoints were used to predict post-operative return to sport rates. A binary logistic regression was used to test the hypothesis that patients who gained strength in the involved limb would have a greater return to sports rate at 6

months.

An independent t-test was used to compare walking speeds between those who did and did not pass RTS criteria 6 months after ACL reconstruction. A Pearson Correlation test was used to evaluate if the predictor variables for the first aim were independent from each other (Table 4). Independent t-tests were used to determine if significant differences in post-intervention (pre-operative) variables existed between those who did and did not pass the RTS criteria six months after surgery. After verifying that statistical assumptions of a regression were met, the three variables were entered into a binary logistic hierarchical regression.

## **Results**

Age, quadriceps strength index, and knee flexion moments in the involved limb during gait were significantly different between those who passed and failed RTS criteria when measured after the pre-operative intervention (Table 4). Each of the predictor variables tested for this aim were independent of one another (Table 5). Each step of the binary logistic regression significantly added to the predictive ability of the model (Table 6). Those who passed RTS criteria (1.50 m/s +0.10) did not have a different walking speed than those who failed return to sport criteria (1.57 m/s +0.11) at 6 months after ACL reconstruction ( $p=0.084$ ).

Age alone correctly predicted 73% of those who failed RTS criteria, with older non-copers performing significantly worse (Age: odds ratio=0.91). Adding quadriceps strength index into the model significantly improved the ability to predict 82% of those athletes who fail, with lower strength symmetry predicting greater failure (Quadriceps strength index: odds ratio=1.066). Adding knee flexion moments significantly improved the model's ability to predict 69% of those who would pass RTS criteria, with higher knee flexion moments predicting greater success (Knee Moment: odds ratio=219.254). Since a 1.0 unit increase in knee flexion moment during walking is not likely, the odds ratio for a 0.1 unit increase in knee flexion moment was 1.714. The final model, which used all three predictor variables, resulted in the highest  $R^2$  value with 69% correct prediction of those who did pass RTS and 82% correct prediction of those who did not pass RTS criteria 6 months after surgery. (Nagelkerke  $R^2$ = 0.46; Model  $p<0.001$ ) (Table 6).

Improvement in quadriceps strength after the pre-operative physical therapy intervention was also significantly predictive of RTS at 6 months (Nagelkerke  $R^2$ = 0.156; Model  $p=0.030$ ). The model correctly predicted 63.2% of the patients who demonstrated increases in quadriceps strength as being more likely to return to sports at 6 months (Odds Ratio = 1.12). Similarly, patients who did not demonstrate strength gains after the pre-operative intervention were more likely not to return to sports; the model correctly predicted 72.7% of patients who

had a reduction or no change in quadriceps strength to fail RTS criteria at 6 months.

## **Discussion**

The results of this study helped to answer two important clinical questions. First, we determined that age, quadriceps strength symmetry and knee flexion moments were meaningful predictors of the ability to pass or fail RTS criteria 6 months after surgery. Second, those non-copers who did not improve in quadriceps strength during a pre-operative strengthening physical therapy intervention were more likely to fail criteria to return to sports; conversely those who improve their quadriceps strength pre-operatively improve their chances at returning to sports within 6 months of ACL reconstruction. This supports our hypotheses that strength and biomechanical characteristics can predict post-operative function and pre-operative interventions that maximize quadriceps strength may afford patients with optimal outcomes after surgery.

Age was entered first into the model because it is a non-modifiable risk factor. Middle aged adults have poorer functional knee stability acutely after ACL rupture (Hurd, et al., 2008b) and our results suggest that age continues to influence functional outcomes after ACL reconstruction. Athletes, coaches, and surgeons should be aware that age plays a role in function and recovery after ACL injury. Further research is warranted to determine whether older athletes

need additional time or different rehabilitation protocols to improve the prognosis of returning to pre-injury levels after ACL injury and reconstruction.

Quadriceps strength was added next to the model as pre-operative quadriceps strength has been shown to influence functional outcomes in individuals with ACL deficiency and after ACL reconstruction (Eitzen, et al., 2009; Hurd, et al., 2007a; Keays, et al., 2003; Lewek, et al., 2002; Noyes, et al., 1991). Our results confirm that both age and quadriceps strength influence functional abilities in the non-coper cohort and can successfully predict who will be more apt to fail RTS criteria post-operatively; age and quadriceps indices correctly predicted 82% of non-copers who failed RTS criteria. Age and quadriceps strength can be easily assessed pre-operatively. Furthermore, non-copers can get stronger with intensive pre-operative physical therapy (Hartigan, et al., 2010), and this improvement in strength was also positively correlated with the ability to return to sports 6 months after surgery. Our results support the need for older individuals with quadriceps strength asymmetry to undergo rehabilitation to improve their quadriceps strength index prior to undergoing ACL reconstruction. Improving quadriceps strength symmetry and overall quadriceps force production will increase their prognosis of passing criteria to return to sports 6 months after surgery.

Lastly, knee flexion moments were added to the binary logistic regression. After accounting for age and quadriceps strength, knee flexion

moments further contributed to the prediction of passing RTS criteria post-operatively. Reduced knee flexion moments, prevalent in non-copers acutely after ACL injury (Rudolph, et al., 2001), impact the ability of non-copers to pass RTS criteria post-operatively. Our results suggest that non-copers who do not reduce their involved limb's knee flexion moments pre-operatively will be more likely to pass return to sports criteria post-operatively. The question remains as to whether knee moments are modifiable in the non-coper cohort.

Our non-copers did not have the same number of attempts to pass the RTS criteria. Though few subjects passed the RTS criteria on their first attempt, most required multiple attempts to pass and more than half of these non-copers were unable to pass RTS criteria 6 months after ACL reconstruction (Hartigan, et al., 2010). The standard of care, post-operative physical therapy, and goals to achieve clinical milestones to attempt and ultimately pass the RTS criteria were similar for all of our subjects. Non-copers are able to learn successful strategies over time and can return to sports even without undergoing ACL reconstruction (Moksnes, et al., 2008). In fact, 70% of those who were classified as non-copers acutely after injury learned to cope without their ACL and returned to sports after 1 year of non-operative treatment (Moksnes, et al., 2008). Clearly a successful strategy has been adapted by non-copers who return to sports participation. However, this strategy has yet to be determined.

Our findings indicate that pre-operative knee moments are

predictive of post-operative function, though we cannot infer whether non-copers can modify their neuromuscular control strategy at the peak knee flexion angle during the stance phase of gait. Symmetrical quadriceps strength does not guarantee symmetrical knee excursion during gait (Hartigan, et al., 2009), nor does it assure athletes will pass RTS criteria (Hartigan, et al., 2010). Our results indicate that non-copers' quadriceps strength indices are not related to knee flexion moments, suggesting that those who demonstrate the ability to generate greater knee flexion moments do not necessarily do so. The lack of a relationship between quadriceps strength and knee moments is possibly due to aberrant neuromuscular strategies demonstrated by non-copers' altered muscle firing patterns (Rudolph, et al., 2001). Studies that include electromyography analyses are necessary to elucidate what neuromuscular strategies are used by our non-copers who demonstrated significantly higher external knee flexion moments. Non-copers make up the majority of the population with ACL rupture, (Hurd, et al., 2007b) however, our predictions are generalizable to the non-coper cohort only and not to copers.

Although patients who demonstrated an improvement in quadriceps strength demonstrated a greater ability to return to sports in 6 months, quadriceps strength gains only explained a small part of the variance of our subject population (Nagelkerke  $R^2 = 0.156$ ). However, the high percentage of failure (72.7%) in those who did not demonstrate quadriceps strength gains pre-

operatively suggests the importance of addressing strength in this population. Further investigations are needed to elucidate what additional characteristics can be addressed during pre-operative physical therapy interventions to maximize post-operative outcomes and expedite the ability of athletes to return to high-level sporting activities.

### **Conclusion**

Our findings enhance the ability to predict which non-copers will be successful in passing RTS criteria or not after ACL reconstruction. Aberrant pre-operative knee moments and asymmetrical quadriceps force appear to contribute to an athlete’s ability to pass and fail return to sports criteria post-operatively, respectively. Though age cannot be modified, athletes need to be counseled that passing rigorous criteria deemed necessary to return to sport after ACL reconstruction can be more challenging as one ages. Focusing on increasing quadriceps strength pre-operatively, especially in our older athletic population of non-copers, may improve their ability to pass RTS criteria post-operatively.

### **Acknowledgements**

This study was financed by grants from the NIH (R01AR048212 and S10RR022396), Foundation for Physical Therapy (PODS I and II), and University of Delaware Dissertation Fellowship.

## **References**

- Alkjaer, T., Simonsen, E. B., Jorgensen, U., & Dyhre-Poulsen, P. (2003). Evaluation of the walking pattern in two types of patients with anterior cruciate ligament deficiency: copers and non-copers. *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational Physiology*, 89(3-4), 301-308.
- Alvarez, J. J. R., Lopez-Silvarrey, F. J., Martinez, J. C. S., Melen, H. M., & Arce, J. C. L. (2008). Patient rehabilitation with anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury of the knee. Review. *Revista Internacional De Medicina Y Ciencias De La Actividad Fisica Y Del Deporte*, 8(29), 62-92.
- Aune, A. K., Holm, I., Risberg, M. A., Jensen, H. K., & Steen, H. (2001). Four-strand hamstring tendon autograft compared with patellar tendon-bone autograft for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. A randomized study with two-year follow-up. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 29(6), 722-728.
- Barrett, G. R., Noojin, F. K., Hartzog, C. W., & Nash, C. R. (2002). Reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament in females: A comparison of hamstring versus patellar tendon autograft. *Arthroscopy*, 18(1), 46-54.
- Bush-Joseph, C. A., Hurwitz, D. E., Patel, R. R., Bahrani, Y., Garretson, R., Bach, B. R., Jr., et al. (2001). Dynamic function after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with autologous patellar tendon. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 29(1), 36-41.
- Cascio, B. M., Culp, L., & Cosgarea, A. J. (2004). Return to play after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Clinics in Sports Medicine*, 23(3), 395-+.
- Chmielewski, T. L., Stackhouse, S., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2004). A prospective analysis of incidence and severity of quadriceps inhibition in a consecutive sample of 100 patients with complete acute anterior cruciate ligament rupture. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 22(5), 925-930.
- Daniel, D. M., Stone, M. L., Dobson, B. E., Fithian, D. C., Rossman, D. J., & Kaufman, K. R. (1994). Fate of the ACL-injured patient. A prospective outcome study. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 22(5), 632-644.
- DeVita, P., Hortobagyi, T., & Barrier, J. (1998). Gait biomechanics are not normal after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and accelerated rehabilitation. *Medicine*

- and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 30(10), 1481-1488.
- Eastlack, M. E., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (1999). Laxity, instability, and functional outcome after ACL injury: copers versus noncopers. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 31(2), 210-215.
- Eitzen, I., Risberg, M. A., & Holm, I. (2009). Preoperative quadriceps strength is a significant predictor of knee function two years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*.
- Ejerhed, L., Kartus, J., Sernert, N., Kohler, K., & Karlsson, J. (2003). Patellar tendon or semitendinosus tendon autografts for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? A prospective randomized study with a two-year follow-up. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 31(1), 19-25.
- Fitzgerald, G. K., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2000a). A decision-making scheme for returning patients to high-level activity with nonoperative treatment after anterior cruciate ligament rupture. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 8(2), 76-82.
- Fitzgerald, G. K., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2000b). The efficacy of perturbation training in nonoperative anterior cruciate ligament rehabilitation programs for physical active individuals. *Physical Therapy*, 80(2), 128-140.
- Fitzgerald, G. K., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2000c). Proposed practice guidelines for nonoperative anterior cruciate ligament rehabilitation of physically active individuals. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*, 30(4), 194-203.
- Hart, J. M., Ko, J. W., Konold, T., & Pietrosimone, B. (2010). Sagittal plane knee joint moments following anterior cruciate ligament injury and reconstruction: a systematic review. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*, 25(4), 277-283.
- Hartigan, E., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2009). Perturbation training prior to ACL reconstruction improves gait asymmetries in non-copers. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 27(6), 724-729.
- Hartigan, E., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2010). Time Line for Noncopers to Pass Return-to-Sports Criteria After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*, 40(3), 141-154.

- Hefti, F., Muller, W., Jakob, R. P., & Staubli, H. U. (1993). Evaluation of knee ligament injuries with the IKDC form. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, *1*(3-4), 226-234.
- Hurd, W. J., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2007a). A 10-Year Prospective Trial of a Patient Management Algorithm and Screening Examination for Highly Active Individuals With Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury : Part 2, Determinants of Dynamic Knee Stability. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*.
- Hurd, W. J., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2007b). A 10-Year Prospective Trial of a Patient Management Algorithm and Screening Examination for Highly Active Individuals With Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury: Part 1, Outcomes. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*.
- Hurd, W. J., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2008a). A 10-year prospective trial of a patient management algorithm and screening examination for highly active individuals with anterior cruciate ligament injury: Part 2, determinants of dynamic knee stability. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, *36*(1), 48-56.
- Hurd, W. J., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2008b). Influence of age, gender, and injury mechanism on the development of dynamic knee stability after acute ACL rupture. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*, *38*(2), 36-41.
- Hurd, W. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2007). Knee instability after acute ACL rupture affects movement patterns during the mid-stance phase of gait. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, *25*(10), 1369-1377.
- Irrgang, J. J., Snyder-Mackler, L., Wainner, R. S., Fu, F. H., & Harner, C. D. (1998). Development of a patient-reported measure of function of the knee. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, *80*(8), 1132-1145.
- Jarvinen, M., & Kannus, P. (1987). Quadriceps muscle atrophy and long-term recovery after knee ligamentous injury. *Annales Chirurgiae et Gynaecologiae*, *76*(2), 108-113.
- Kannus, P., Jarvinen, M., & Jozsa, L. (1988). Thigh muscle atrophy and postoperative osteoarthritis after knee ligament tears. *Acta Chirurgica Hungarica*, *29*(2), 151-161.
- Keays, S. L., Bullock-Saxton, J. E., Newcombe, P., & Keays, A. C. (2003). The

- relationship between knee strength and functional stability before and after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 21(2), 231-237.
- Kent-Braun, J. A., & Le Blanc, R. (1996). Quantitation of central activation failure during maximal voluntary contractions in humans. *Muscle and Nerve*, 19(7), 861-869.
- Lee, D. Y., Karim, S. A., & Chang, H. C. (2008). Return to sports after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction - a review of patients with minimum 5-year follow-up. *Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore*, 37(4), 273-278.
- Lewek, M., Rudolph, K., Axe, M., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2002). The effect of insufficient quadriceps strength on gait after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*, 17(1), 56-63.
- Manal, T., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (1996). Practice guidelines for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a criterion-based rehabilitation progression. *Oper Tech Orthop*, 6(3), 190-196.
- Marcacci, M., Zaffagnini, S., Iacono, F., Vascellari, A., Loreti, I., Kon, E., et al. (2003). Intra- and extra-articular anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction utilizing autogenous semitendinosus and gracilis tendons: 5-year clinical results. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 11(1), 2-8.
- Moksnes, H., Snyder-Mackler, L., & Risberg, M. A. (2008). Individuals with an anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knee classified as noncopers may be candidates for nonsurgical rehabilitation. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*, 38(10), 586-595.
- Moller, E., Forssblad, M., Hansson, L., Wange, P., & Weidenhielm, L. (2001). Bracing versus nonbracing in rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a randomized prospective study with 2-year follow-up. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 9(2), 102-108.
- Nakayama, Y., Shirai, Y., Narita, T., Mori, A., & Kobayashi, K. (2000). Knee functions and a return to sports activity in competitive athletes following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *J Nippon Med Sch*, 67(3), 172-176.
- Noyes, F. R., Barber, S. D., & Mangine, R. E. (1991). Abnormal lower limb symmetry

- determined by function hop tests after anterior cruciate ligament rupture. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 19(5), 513-518.
- Noyes, F. R., Berrios-Torres, S., Barber-Westin, S. D., & Heckmann, T. P. (2000). Prevention of permanent arthrofibrosis after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction alone or combined with associated procedures: a prospective study in 443 knees. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 8(4), 196-206.
- Peterson, R. K., Shelton, W. R., & Bomboy, A. L. (2001). Allograft versus autograft patellar tendon anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A 5-year follow-up. *Arthroscopy*, 17(1), 9-13.
- Pinczewski, L. A., Deehan, D. J., Salmon, L. J., Russell, V. J., & Clingeffer, A. (2002). A five-year comparison of patellar tendon versus four-strand hamstring tendon autograft for Arthroscopic reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 30(4), 523-536.
- Rudolph, K. S., Axe, M. J., Buchanan, T. S., Scholz, J. P., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2001). Dynamic stability in the anterior cruciate ligament deficient knee. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 9(2), 62-71.
- Rudolph, K. S., Eastlack, M. E., Axe, M. J., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (1998). 1998 Basmajian Student Award Paper: Movement patterns after anterior cruciate ligament injury: a comparison of patients who compensate well for the injury and those who require operative stabilization. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 8(6), 349-362.
- Rudolph, K. S., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2004). Effect of dynamic stability on a step task in ACL deficient individuals. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 14(5), 565-575.
- Sakuma, K., & Yamaguchi, A. (2010). Molecular mechanisms in aging and current strategies to counteract sarcopenia. *Curr Aging Sci*, 3(2), 90-101.
- Scranton, P. E., Jr., Bagenstose, J. E., Lantz, B. A., Friedman, M. J., Khalfayan, E. E., & Auld, M. K. (2002). Quadruple hamstring anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a multicenter study. *Arthroscopy*, 18(7), 715-724.
- Smith, F. W., Rosenlund, E. A., Aune, A. K., MacLean, J. A., & Hillis, S. W. (2004). Subjective functional assessments and the return to competitive sport after

- anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 38(3), 279-284.
- Snyder-Mackler, L., Delitto, A., Bailey, S. L., & Stralka, S. W. (1995). Strength of the quadriceps femoris muscle and functional recovery after reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. A prospective, randomized clinical trial of electrical stimulation. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, 77(8), 1166-1173.
- Timoney, J. M., Inman, W. S., Quesada, P. M., Sharkey, P. F., Barrack, R. L., Skinner, H. B., et al. (1993). Return of normal gait patterns after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 21(6), 887-889.
- Wagner, M., Kaab, M. J., Schallock, J., Haas, N. P., & Weiler, A. (2005). Hamstring tendon versus patellar tendon anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using biodegradable interference fit fixation - A prospective matched-group analysis. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 33(9), 1327-1336.
- Webster, K. E., Feller, J. A., & Hameister, K. A. (2001). Bone tunnel enlargement following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a randomised comparison of hamstring and patellar tendon grafts with 2-year follow-up. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 9(2), 86-91.
- Winter, D. A. (2005). *Biomechanics and Motor Control of Human Movement* (3rd ed. ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons.

| <b>Table 1. Subject Demographics</b> |                      |           |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
|                                      | Mean (SD)            | Ranges    |
| Age (yrs)                            | 28.6 (10.3)          | 14-48     |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )             | 28.9 (5.2)           | 21.1-44.7 |
| Injury to screen (wks)               | 8.9 (8.5)            | 1-37      |
| Gender                               | 11 females; 27 males |           |

Abbreviations: BMI=Body mass index (BMI). SD=standard deviations

| <b>Table 2. Pre-operative Group Comparisons</b> |               |               |          |
|---|---------------|---------------|----------|
|   | Perturbation  | Strength      | p values |
| Walking Speed (m/s)                             | 1.53 (0.13)   | 1.54 (0.09)   | 0.782    |
| Age (yrs)                                       | 27.5 (10.4)   | 29.4 (10.4)   | 0.588    |
| Quadriceps Index (%)                            | 90.5 (10.8)   | 93.2 (17.9)   | 0.595    |
| Knee Moments (Nm/kgm)                           | 0.386 (0.177) | 0.310 (0.156) | 0.168    |

“Original Research: Preoperative Predictors for Non-Copers to Pass Return to Sports Criteria After ACL Reconstruction” by Hartigan et al.

*Journal of Applied Biomechanics*

© 2011 Human Kinetics, Inc.

| <b>Table 3. Quadriceps Strength, Quadriceps Strength Index and Knee Moments over Time</b> |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
|   | Involved Limb<br>Quadriceps<br>Strength<br>(N/BMI)<br>Mean (SD) | Quadriceps<br>Strength Index<br>(percentage)<br>Mean (SD) | Involved Knee<br>Flexion Moment<br>(Nm/kgm)<br>Mean (SD) |
| Baseline  | 36.5 (12.8)   | 87.5 (12.6)   | 0.32 (0.16)  |
| Following 10 pre-operative PT sessions  | 38.9 (15.3)   | 92.0 (15.1)   | 0.34 (0.17)  |
| 6 months after ACL reconstruction   | 40.2 (13.6)   | 95.2 (13.1)   | 0.38 (0.15)  |

| <b>Table 4. Pre-operative variables of those who do and do not pass RTS at 6 months.</b> |                                      |                                      |                |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| <b>Predictors</b>  | <b>Fail RTS<br/>6months<br/>(SD)</b> | <b>Pass RTS<br/>6months<br/>(SD)</b> | <b>p value</b> |
| Age (yrs)  | 32.1 (9.5)                           | 23.6 (9.4)                           | 0.01*          |
| Quadriceps Strength Index (%)  | 86.7 (12)                            | 99.2 (16)                            | 0.01*          |
| Involved Knee Flexion Moment at Peak Knee Flexion (Nm/kgm)                               | 0.29 (0.16)                          | 0.41 (0.15)                          | 0.017*         |

(\*P<0.05)

**Table 5. Pearson Correlations**

|                  |                     | age   | Quadriceps Index | Knee moments |
|------------------|---------------------|-------|------------------|--------------|
| age              | Pearson Correlation | 1     | -.269            | -.146        |
|                  | Sig. (2-tailed)     |       | .102             | .382         |
|                  | N                   | 38    | 38               | 38           |
| Quadriceps Index | Pearson Correlation | -.269 | 1                | .304         |
|                  | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .102  |                  | .063         |
|                  | N                   | 38    | 38               | 38           |
| Knee moments     | Pearson Correlation | -.146 | .304             | 1            |
|                  | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .382  | .063             |              |
|                  | N                   | 38    | 38               | 38           |

| <b>Table 6. Hierarchical Binary Logistic Regression using Variables Collected After the Pre-operative Intervention</b> |              |               |            |               |                 |      |         |  |
|--|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|------|---------|--|
| Steps  | Step p value | Model p value | Odds Ratio | Nagelkerke R2 | Percent correct |      |         |  |
|  |              |               |            |               | Fail            | Pass | Overall |  |
| Age  | 0.008        | <0.008        | 0.91       | 0.225         | 73              | 63   | 68      |  |
| Age & QI   | 0.028        | <0.003        | 1.066      | 0.358         | 82              | 56   | 69      |  |
| Age, QI, KFM   | 0.042        | <0.001        | 219.254    | 0.46          | 82              | 69   | 76      |  |

Abbreviations: QI=Quadriceps Index; KFM=Knee Flexion Moment